

Egyptian Journal of Plant Protection Research Institute www.ejppri.eg.net



Effect of entomopathogenic fungi on *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) and *Earias insulana* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and their predators Hemat, Z. Moustafa; Dalia, E. Lotfy and Karim, Abou-Zied Hassan

Plant Protection Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Dokki. Giza, Egypt.

ARTICLE INFO Article History Received: 3 /1 / 2019

Accepted: 7/ 3 /2019

Keywords

Pink and spiny

bollworms, green

lacewing, ladybird

beetle, Chrysoperla

carnea, Coccinella

entomopathogenic

fungi and toxicity.

septempunctata,

Abstract:

Entomopathogenic fungi infect and kill insect pests in the green house and used as agents for biological control. The aim of this work is to study the toxicity of serial concentrations of fungal spore suspension of both Metarhizium anisopliae and Paceilomyces lilicanus against the newly hatched larvae of pink bollworm (PBW) *Pectinophora* gossypiella (Saunders) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) and spiny bollworm Earias insulana (Boisduval (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in addition to the effect of the two fungi on green lacewing, Chrysoperla carnea (Stephens) (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) eggs and larvae and on ladybird beetle Coccinella septempunctata L. (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) eggs. Results showed that the toxicity of P. lilicanus was higher on P. gossypiella treatment; wheras the toxicity of M. anisopliae was higher in case of E. insulana treatment. On the other hand, the effect of the two fungi on C. was obvious effective. whereas, carnea eggs С. septempunctata was not affected after the same egg's treatment.

Introduction

Cotton bollworms included the pink bollworm (PBW) Pectinophora gossypiella (Saunders) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) and (SBW) spinv bollworm **Earias** insulana (Boisduval) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) are considered the key insect pests infested each of squares, flowers as well as the green bolls causing destruction of cotton plants resulting the increasing qualities and quantities of the cotton yield. Using bioinsecticides proved to be harmless to predators and parasitoids in cotton field (Tillman and Mulrooney, 2000) and in laboratory conditions, it was harmless to *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) eggs and pupae stages irrespective of concentrations or method of treatments (Mandour, 2009).

Family Chrysopidae have high predatory capacity to different ecosystems (Costa *et al.* 2003). Importance of *C. carnea* as biological control agent for cotton pests; whereas insecticides influence different species of the natural enemy, it is important to evaluate the effects of the tested fungicides as biological control agents.

Coccinellidae is a widespread family of small beetles (Seago et al., 2011). The ladybird beetle, Coccinella septempunctata L. (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) mainly freeliving predatory species. Therefore, it is considered to be useful insects, because species prey many on herbivorous homopterans (Liu and Stansly, Entomopathogenic fungi 1996). were successfully applied worldwide as biological control agents since 1880's (Krassilstschik, 1888). They were used for insect pests control programs (Herlinda et al., 2010 and for instance Metarhizium anisopliae and Paceilomyces lilicanus as well as the entomopathogenic bacteria, Bacillus thuringiensis were tested against the insect pests (the diamondback moth, the cabbage worm and beet armyworm) in the green house and field (Sabbour and Sahab, 2005). M. anisopliae is considered one of the most common entomopathogenic fungal species used as biological control agent against insect pests (Barra et al., 2013). In view of the importance of C. carnea and C. septempunctata as the biological control agents for cotton pests compared to insecticides effects on those species of natural enemy. This work aimed to evaluate the efficacy of two entomopathogenic fungi on the predators; C. carnea and C. septempunctata and their prey included P. gossypiella and E. insulana.

Materials and methods

1.Insect used:

First instar larvae of pink bollworm P. gossypiella was reared for several generations on modified artificial diet as described by Abd El-Hafez et al. (1982) under laboratory conditions at 27+1°C and 75+5% R.H. and spiny bollworm E. insulana was reared in Cotton Bollworm Research Department, Plant Protection Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center. Dokki. Giza, Egypt, on artificial diet described by (Amer, 2015).

Eggs of *C. carnea* and *C. septempunctata* were obtained from

predators and parasitoids unit, Plant Protection Research Institute. **2.Fungus culture:**

Isolates of *M. anisopliae* (Metschnikoff) Sorokin and *P. lilicanus* (Thom) Samson, were obtained from Assiut University, Mycological center Faculty of Science. The isolates were cultured on Sabouraud Dextrose Yeast Agar (SDYA) medium g/l (Sabouraud, 1892) containing 40 g glucose, 20 g peptone, 20 g agar, 2 gm Yeast extract and 1000ml of distilled water in flasks autoclaved at 21°C for 15-20 min.

3.Inoclum preparations:

Fungal cultures were grown on (SDYA) medium g/l and incubated at 25±2°C in darkness for 14 days. Conidial suspensions were prepared by scraping cultures with a sterile objective glass and transferred to 10 ml of sterile water containing 0.05% Tween 80 in a laminar flow chamber. The conidia were harvested by scraping the surface of the culture with inoculation needle. The mixture was stirred for 10 minute the hyphal was removed by filtering the mixture through fine mesh sieve. The conidial concentration of final suspension was determined by direct count using Haemocytometer. Serial dilutions were prepared in distilled water containing 0.1% tween- 80 and preserved at 5°C until used. In vitro entomopathogenicity tests were applied to evaluate efficacy of the fungal isolates against the newly hatched larvae of P. gossypiella and E. insulana. A volume of 1 ml of the adjustable concentrations 10⁸, 5x10⁷, 2.5x10⁶, 1.25x10⁵ and 0.625x10⁴ spores/ml viable conidia was directly applied to the larvae by feeding. Three replicates per treatment with per replicate were made.

4. Bioassay of treated *Pectinophora* gossypiella and *Earias insulana* larvae:

Response of the newly hatched larvae of *P. gossypiella* and *E. insulana* was studied. Serial concentrations of 10^8 , $5x10^7$, $2.5x10^6$, $1.25x10^5$ and $0.625x10^4$ spores/ml were prepared. Thirty newly hatched larvae were transferred individually to the surface of the treated diet, each concentration replicated three times, after 24 hours transferred the alive larvae on untreated diet and kept in glass tubes (2 x 7.5 cm) caped with cotton stopper. The same procedure was done with untreated diet exposed to newly hatched larvae and used as control. All tubes were incubated at $26\pm2^{\circ}$ c and $70-85\pm5\%$ RH and inspected daily.

5. Bioassay of treated *Chrysoperla carnea* eggs and larvae:

The eggs were sprayed directly with 10⁸ of *P. lilicanus* and *M. anisopliae* through laboratory bioassays then placed into glass tubes and observed daily for the number of hatched larvae in each treatment. The obtained larvae were maintained in the same tubes and fed with P. gossypiella eggs. Control replicates were treated by water only. thirty healthy starved larvae of the 2nd instar larvae of C. carnea were kept individually in glass tubes (2x7 cm) in each replicate and fed on treated P. gossypiella eggs by spraying the eggs cards with P. lilicanus and M. anisopliae solutions and dried them then complete nutrition on *P. gossypiella* eggs free from insecticidal treatment till formation of cocoons. Control replicates were treated by water only then incubated all tubes at 26 \pm 1°C and 70 ±5% RH and evaluated daily until emergence of the insects. Mortality was recorded at intervals after 2, 3, 5,7,10 days after larval feeding.

6. Bioassay of treated *Coccinella septempunctata* eggs:

The eggs were sprayed directly with 10^8 of *P. lilicanus* and *M. anisopliae* through laboratory bioassays then placed into glass jars and observed daily for the number of hatched larvae in each treatment.

7.Data analysis:

Corrected cumulative mortalities were reported for both isolates from treatment and corrected according to Abbott's (1925) as follows:

Corrected percent mortality = [(T - C)/(100 - C)/(100

C)] x 100

The median lethal concentration (LC_{50}) values were determined using Finney (1952). **Results and discussion**

 LC_{50} values and % expected mortality after treated the newly hatched larvae of *P*. *gossypiella* with different concentrations of *P. lilicanus* after 2, 3, 5, 7 and 10 days of treatments are shown in Table (1). The corresponding LC_{50} values were 5.60×10^7 , 4.87×10^6 , 4.85×10^6 , 3.76×10^6 and 2.14×10^5 spores/ml.

Expected mortality percentages of newly hatched larvae of *E. insulana* after treatment with different concentrations of *P. lilicanus* shown in Table (2). The LC₅₀ values were 6.40 $\times 10^7$, 5.18 $\times 10^7$, 5.02 $\times 10^6$ and 2.67 $\times 10^6$ spores/ml after 2, 3, 5, 7 and 10 days of treatment respectively. While after twelve days of treatment, all the treated larvae dead in contrary with the untreated larvae.

Response of newly hatched larvae of *P*. gossypiella after treatment with different concentrations of *M*. anisopliae is shown in Table (3). LC_{50} values were 3.92 x10⁶, 2.91 x10⁶ and 1.82 x10⁵ after 2, 3, 5 days but after 7 and 10 days of treatment LC_{50} was 1.70x10⁵ spores/ml.

Response of newly hatched larvae of *E. insulana* after treatment with different concentrations of *M. anisopliae* is shown in Table (4). LC₅₀ values were 2.68 x10⁷ after 2 days and 2.63 x10⁷ after 3 and 5 days and 1.56 x10⁵ after 7 days of treatment, respectively.

Conc.	%Expected Mortality values								
	After 2 daysAfter 3 daysAfter 5 daysAfter 7 daysAfter 10								
10^{8}	60.41	64.20	84.07	88.90	96.82				
5X10 ⁷	50.82	52.94	78.27	83.48	93.67				
2.5×10^{6}	41.11	41.65	71.43	76.64	88.54				
1.25×10^{5}	32.20	32.20	63.75	68.51	81.00				
Slope value	0.87±0.31	1.00±0.31	0.73 ± 0.32	0.84 ± 0.34	1.10 ± 0.44				
LC ₅₀	$5.60 \text{ x} 10^7$	$4.87 \text{ x}10^6$	$4.85 ext{ x10}^{6}$	$3.76 ext{ x10}^{6}$	$2.14 \text{ x} 10^5$				

Table (1): Toxicity of *Paceilomyces lilicanus* against newly hatched larvae of *Pectinophora* gossypiella under laboratory conditions.

Table (2): Toxicity of *Paceilomyces lilicanus* against newly hatched larvae of *Earias insulana* under laboratory conditions.

Conc.	% Expected Mortality values								
	After 2 days	After 3 days	After 5 days	After 7 days	After 10 days				
10^{8}	62.20	66.10	68.96	94.62	98.03				
$5X10^{7}$	46.80	51.71	55.81	89.82	95.28				
2.5×10^{6}	32.16	36.60	42.24	82.50	90.11				
$1.25 \text{X} 10^5$	20.41	23.94	29.94	72.56	81.72				
$0.625 X 10^4$	12.62	14.95	20.14	60.57	69.99				
Slope value	1.39±0.25	1.37±0.24	1.03±0.23	1.14±0.26	1.29±0.32				
LC ₅₀	$6.40 ext{ x10}^7$	$5.18 \text{ x} 10^7$	$5.02 \text{ x} 10^7$	$4.05 \text{ x} 10^6$	$2.67 \text{ x} 10^6$				

Table (3): Toxicity of *Metarhizium anisopliae* against newly hatched larvae of *Pectinophora* gossypiella under laboratory conditions.

Conc.	%Expected Mortality values								
	After 2 days	After 3 days	After 5 days	After 7 days	After 10 days				
10^{8}	65.36	65.95	89.09	93.21	93.21				
$5X10^{7}$	54.09	60.00	76.74	81.79	81.79				
2.5×10^{6}	42.47	47.53	59.07	62.69	62.69				
1.25×10^{5}	31.48	33.42	39.29	39.74	39.74				
Slope value	0.97±0.35	0.03 ± 0.35	1.66±0.39	194±0.42	194±0.42				
LC ₅₀	$3.92 \text{ x} 10^6$	$2.91 \text{ x} 10^6$	$1.82 \text{ x} 10^5$	$1.70 \text{ x} 10^5$	$1.70 \text{ x} 10^5$				

Table (4): Toxicity of *Metarhizium anisopliae* against newly hatched larvae of *Earias* insulana under laboratory conditions.

Conc.	%Expected Mortality values								
	After 2 days	After 3 days	After 5 days	After 7 days					
10^{8}	96.46	97.05	97.05	99.48					
$5X10^{7}$	93.40	93.78	93.78	98.37					
2.5×10^{6}	55.57	88.22	88.22	95.71					
1.25×10^{5}	25.16	79.86	79.86	90.31					
$0.625 X 10^4$	8.14	68.79	68.79	81.13					
Slope value	2.80±0.32	1.18±0.30	1.18±0.30	1.41 ± 0.42					
LC ₅₀	$2.68 \text{ x} 10^7$	$2.63 \text{ x} 10^7$	$2.63 \text{ x} 10^7$	$1.56 \text{ x} 10^5$					

In this field of study, El- Massry *et al.* (2016) found that *E. insulana* exhibited higher effective to *Trichoderma harzianum* treatment than *P. gossypiella*. There was a highly significant difference between the all tested concentrations comparing with the untreated one in case of the two larvae species. Also, Hegab and Zaki (2012) evaluate the effect of *B. bassiana* against *E. insulana*. The accumulated mortalities of both insects' larvae, after six days of treatment, were represented as the acute toxicity. Also, results cleared that the effect of Biover® fungi attained decreasing in all biological aspects.

Other authors observed the same finding in case of Tables (1, 2 and 3) that the treated larvae dead after 10 days of treatment as found by, Venugopal *et al.* (2017). They found that larval mortality observed after 3-7 -10 days of fungal treatment. With entomophthoralean fungi, unicellular yeastlike cells with chitinous walls (hyphal bodies) spread throughout the insect obtaining nutrients, leading to the death of the host by physiological starvation about 3-7 days after infection (Ritu *et al.*, 2012) found the same different concentration of B. basiansa (62.98),(60.58),(59.67),(58.32).

Effect of the tested *P. lilicanus* and *M.* anisopliae using high concentrations (108 spores/ml) against C. carnea and C. septempunctata eggs presented in Table (5). After treating *C. carnea* eggs, the hatchibility percentage of eggs recorded 73.30% when treated with P. lilicanus with 21.43% reduction, while it was 86.7% in case of M. anisopliae treatment with 7.14% reduction, compared with 93.3% in control. While, after treating С. septempunctata eggs the hatchability percentage was 100% in case of P. lilicanus and M. anisopliae treatment.

The corrected mortality percentages of *C. carnea* 2nd instar larvae were recorded after 2, 3, 5 and 7 days of treatment with *P. lilicanus* and *M. anisopliae* are shown in Table (6). The results showed that the high concentration of the *P. lilicanus* and *M. anisopliae* affected on larvae predator significantly at 2, 3, 5 and 7 days respectively, the corresponding mortality percentages were 15, 15, 20 and 30%. *M. anisopliae* was more toxic to second instar larvae than *P. lilicanus*.

Table (5): Effect of *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Paceilomyces lilicanus* on *Chrysoperla carnea* and *Coccinella septempunctata* eggs.

Tested fungi	С. с	arnea	C. septempunctata		
	%egg	%reduction	%egg	%reduction	
P. lilicanus	73.30	21.43	100	0.00	
M. anisopliae	86.70	7.14	100	0.00	
Control	93.30	0.00	100	0.00	

Table	(6):	Corrected	mortality	percentages	of	2^{nd}	instar	larvae	of	Chrysoperla
carnea caused by Metarhizium anisopliae and Paceilomyces lilicanus.										

Insecticides	%	6 corrected	l Mortality		Larval		Pupal	% a	dult	
	2 days	3 days	5 days	7 days	Duration	% pupation	pation Duration		emergence	
								4	2	
P. lilicanus	15	15	20	30	20.83	66.67	9.29	0.00	50	
M. anisopliae	25	55	80	85	17.83	92.86	8.71	30.7	61.5	
Control	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.33	100	8.33	60	40	

The immature stages (Larval and pupal duration) was recorded after treating the 2^{nd} instar larvae of *C. carnea* with the two entomopathogenic fungi, results showed that *P. lilicanus* prolonged larval duration than control. Moreover, slight increase in pupal duration after treatment at 9.29 days compared with 8.33 days in control. So, the emerged adults from this treatment resulted malformed adults.

Figure (1) shows the malformed adults resulted from 2^{nd} instar larvae of *C. carnea* treated with *P. lilicanus* compared with the normal one.

In this respect, Ayubi *et al.* (2013) studied the lethal effects of four compounds, imidacloprid, lufenuron, thiametoxam and thiodicarb, on the eggs and 1st instar larvae of *C. carnea* in laboratory conditions.

Dipping bioassay tests were used for eggs and the residual contact method for larvae. Thiodicarb had no effect on eggs. On larvae, thiametoxam was the most toxic and lufenuron proved to be the least toxic. Also, Moustafa (2016) found that biocides were harmless on c. carnea eggs than conventional insecticides. Recently, Fernando (2018) the fungal entomopathogens use of as environmentally friendly alternatives for management of insect pests. Unfortunately, their effectiveness continues to be limited by their susceptibility to ultraviolet (UV) light and low moisture. In addition, this review presents several areas that should receive focused attention to increase the probability of success for making fungal entomopathogens an effective alternative to chemical control.



Figure (1): Normal and malformed adults after *Chrysoperla carnea* larvae treatment to insecticides

1: Normal adult 2,3,4: Malformed adults after treatment with *Paceilomyces lilicanus*. **References** (Neuroptera: Chrysopida

- Abbott, W. S. A. (1925): Method for computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. J. Econ. Entomol., 18: 265.
- Abd El-Hafez, A.; Metwally, A.G.; Saleh, M.R.A. (1982): Rearing pink bollworm *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saund.) on kidney bean diet in Egypt. Res Bull, Fac Agric, Zagazig Univ., 576: 1-10.
- Amer, A. E. A. (2015): Economic artificial diets for rearing spiny bollworm, *Earias insulana* (Boisd.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). J. Plant Prot. and Path., Mansoura Univ., Vol. 6 (3):527 534.
- Ayubi, A.; Moravvei, G.; Javad, K. and Ali,
 J. (2013): Lethal effects of four insecticides on immature stages of *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens)

(Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) in laboratory conditions. Turkish Journal of Entomology, 37(4):399-407.

- Barra, P.; Rosso, L.; Nesci, A. and Etcheverry, M. (2013): Isolation and identification of entomopathogenic fungi and their evaluation against Tribolium *confusum*, *Sitophilus zeamais* and *Rhyzopertha dominica* in stored maize.J. Pest Sci., 86:217-226.
- Costa, D.B.; Souza, B.; Carvalho, G.A. and Carvalho, C. F. (2003): Residual action of insecticides to larval of *C. externa* (Hagen, 1861) (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) under greenhouse conditions. Ciência Agrotecnologia Lavras., 27(4):835-839.

El-Massry, S.; Hend, A.A.; Shokry, G.h. and Hegab, M. E. M. (2016): Efficacy of *Trichoderma harzianum* and some organic acids on the cotton bollworms, *Earias insulana* and *Pectinophora gossypiella*. J. Plant Prot. and Path., Mansoura Univ., 7(2): 143 – 148.

- Fernando, E. Vega (2018): The use of fungal entomopathogens as endophytes in biological control. J. Mycologia, 110(1):4-30.
- Finney, D. J. (1952): Probit Analysis, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. 333pp.
- Hegab, M. E. M. A. and Zaki, A. A. A. (2012): Toxicological and biological effects of bacteria, *Bacillus thuringiensis* Kustaki on *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saund.) and entomopathogenic fungi, *Beauveria bassiana* on *Earias insulana* (Boisd.). J. Plant Prot. and Path., Mansoura Univ., 3 (3): 289 297.
- Herlinda, S.; Irsan, C.; Mayasari, R. and Septariani, S. (2010): Identification and selection of entomopathogenic fungi as biocontrol agents for *Aphis gossypii* from South Sumatra. Microbiol. Indonesia, 4(3):137-142.
- **Krassilstschik, J. (1888):** Industrial production of entomopathogens for the destruction of harmful insects. Bull. Sci. France, Belgium, 19:461-472.
- Liu, T.X. and Stansly, P.A. (1996): Toxicological effects of selected insecticides on *Nephaspis oculatus* (Col., Coccinellidae) a predator of *Bemisia argentifilii* (Hom., Aleyrodidae). J. App. Entomol., 120:1-5.
- Mandour, N.S. (2009): Influence of Spinosad on immature and adult stages of *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae). BioControl, 54: 93-102.
- Moustafa, Hemat, Z. (2016): Comparative toxicity of some insecticides against *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saunders) and *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) J. Plant Prot. and Path., Mansoura Univ., 7 (6): 391–395.
- Ritu, A.; Anjali, C.; Nidhi, T.; Sheetal, P. and Deepak, B. (2012): Bio-pesticidal

Formulation of *Beauveria bassiana* effective against larvae of *Petinophora gossypiella*. J Biofertil Biopestici 3:120. doi:10.4172/2155-6202.1000120

- Sabbour, M. M. and Sahab, A.F. (2005): Efficacy of some microbial control agents against cabbage pests in Egypt. Pakistan-Journal-of-Biological-Sciences,8(10):1351-1356.
- Sabouraud, R. (1892): Ann. Dermatol. Syphilol., 3:1061.
- Seago, A. E.; Giorgi, J. A.; Li, J. and Ślipińskia, A. (2011): Phylogeny, classification and evolution of ladybird beetles (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) based on simultaneous analysis of molecular and morphological data. Molecular **Phylogenetics** and Evolution., 60 (1): 137–151.
- Tillman, P.G. and Mulrooney, J.E. (2000): Effect of selected insecticides on enemies Coleomegilla the natural maculata and Hippodamia convergens (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae), Geocoris punctipes (Hemiptera: Lygaeidae) and Bracon Cardiochiles *mellitor*, nigriceps and Cotesia marginiventris (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) in cotton. J. Econ. Entomol., 93: 1638-1643.
- Venugopal, U.; Ashwani, K.; Srikanth, P. and Thirupathaiah, G. (2017): Management of pink boll worm (*Pectinopora gossipella* Saunders) by bio agent of (*Beauveria bassiana*) on cotton crop (*Gossipum hirsutum* L.). International Journal of Agricultural, 7(1):411-416.