

Egyptian Journal of Plant

Protection Research Institute

www.ejppri.eg.net



Occurrence and efficacy of natural compounds of the pomegranate whitefly, Siphoninus phillyreae (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) and its parasitoid, Eretmocerus parasiphonini (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae) in Egypt

Shaaban, Abd-Rabou

Scale Insects and Mealybugs Research Department, Plant Protection Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

ARTICLE INFO

Article History Received: 9 /1 / 2019 Accepted: 11 /3 /2019

Keywords

Botanical extracts, pomegranate whitefly, biocontrol, *Siphoninus phillyreae* and *Eretmocerus parasiphonini*.

Abstract:

The pomegranate whitefly, Siphoninus *phillyreae* (Haliday) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) is a dangerous pest of pomegranate in different locations in Egypt. The aim of this research was to evaluate the effect of botanical extracts on S. phillyreae and its parasitoid, Eretmocerus parasiphonini Evans and Abd-Rabou (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae) on pomegranate (Punica granatum L.) as well as the distribution of this pest in Egypt. During the present work, S. phillyreae was recorded distributed and occurred in four governorates. These are Assuit, Dagahylia, Giza, Kafr El-Shikh and Oalyubiya. The results also indicated that, in the first season, the average reduction of the three compounds (Jojoba oil. Peacilomyces fumosoroseus and Sulfur) gave moderate toxic effect against S. phillyreae, percent reduction ranged while between (39-45%) and its parasitoid. Ε. parasiphonini, percent reduction ranged between (72-81%). Azadirachtin compound gave 56% and 72% for S. phillvreae and its parasitoid, E. parasiphonini mortality, respectively. On the other hand, malathion gave high efficacy against S. phillyreae (75%) and E. parasiphonini (93 %). In the second season, the average reduction of the three compounds (Jojoba oil, Peacilomyces fumosoroseus and Sulfur) gave moderate toxic effect against S. phillyreae, percent reduction ranged between (41-53%) and while its parasitiod E. parasiphonini, percent reduction ranged between (72-76%). Azadirachtin compound gave 63% and 63% for S. phillyreae and its parasitoid, E. parasiphonini mortality, respectively. On the other hand, malathion gave high efficacy against S. phillyreae (85%) and E. parasiphonini (95%).

Introduction

The pomegranate whitefly, Siphoninus phillyreae (Haliday) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) is one of the most economic pest infested pomegranate in Egypt. Heavy infestation caused leaf wilt, early leaf drops and smaller fruit (Abd-Rabou, 1998). It is distributed in 28 countries specially in Palaearctic region (Bellows et al., 1990) and distributed in three governorates in Egypt (Abd-Rabou, 2001b). Recently, Eretmocerus parasiphonini Evans and Abd-Rabou (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae) recorded associated with S. phillyreae in Egypt (Evans and Abd-Rabou, 2005). Abd-Rabou and Ahmed (2007) studied the distribution of this pest in Egypt.

In recent years whiteflies have developed resistance to many conventional insecticides throughout the world, especially organophosphates and pyrethroids (Horowitz *et al.*, 2004 and Fernandez *et al.*, 2009).

So, in modern agriculture and an increasingly regulated world, natural plant-based insecticides can be a feasible plant pest management method and an attractive alternative synthetic to chemical insecticides because botanicals reputedly pose little threat to the environment, non-target organisms or to human health (Isman, 2006). A number of plant substances have been considered for use as insecticides, antifeedants or which include repellents. terpenes. flavonoids, alkaloids, phenols, and other related compounds (Adeyemi, 2010). Several factors, however, appear to limit the success of botanicals, most notably regulatory barriers. In this context, plantderived products are best suited for use in organic food production and in the production and postharvest protection of food in developing countries (Isman,

2006 and Dayan et al., 2009).

Some of botanical extracts potential allows up to 90% success in pest control within agroecological management, having the advantage of preserving natural enemies (Abreu Júnior, 1998). Several studies have shown that neem products are safe for beneficial insects (Schmutterer, 1990).

The aim of this work is to study the efficacy of botanical extracts on the pomegranate whitefly, *S. phillyreae* and its parasitoid, *E. parasiphonini* with emphasis on distributions status in Egypt. **Materials and methods**

1. Distribution of *Siphoninus phyllireae* in Egypt:

Infested leaves of pomegranate were examined in the field using a pocket magnification lens. Infested leaves were collected from pomegranate trees from different locations in Egypt during 2017-2018. The leaves collected and placed separately in paper bags for further examination in the laboratory. Identification of *S. phyllireae* was done by examining fourth larval instar in Canada Balsam (according Abd-Rabou, 2001b).

2. Efficacy of natural compounds on *Siphoninus phyllireae* and its parasitoid, *Eretmocerus parasiphonini* on pomegranate:

The experiments were carried out to evaluate of the five compounds Jojoba oil, *Peacilomyces fumosoroseus*, Sulfur, Azadirachtin and Malathion) on *S. phillyrea* and associated parasitoid, *E. parasiphonini* on pomegranate at Giza Governorate. When the numbers of *S. phillyreae* and its parasitoid were high during July.

2.1. The experiments comprised five compounds:

2.1.1. Jojoba oil: Al kanz 2000 70% WE The application rate 10 ml /LW.

2.1.2. Sulfur WP S8 the application rate 2.5 mg/Lw.

2.1.3. *Paecilomyces fumosoroeus* (Priority): An entomopathogenic fungi: 1×10^8 unite/cm³ (100 million), containing the fungus *P. fumosoroeus*, used at a rate of 5ml/Lw

2.1.4. Azadirachtin Azadirachtin (*Azadirachtin indica*) The application rate 5ml/Lw

2.1.5. Malathion 57% EC, a chemical insecticide of the common name Malathion and the chemical name, O, O-dimethyl-S- (1,2-dicarbethoxyethyl) dithio-phosphate. It was applied at a rate of 1.5 ml/ Lw

Each treatment conducted in 1/4 Fadden. One quarter of Fadden was also used as an untreated check (control). Spraying was applied at the rate of per plant which was accomplished by the use of a Knapsack sprayer Cp-20 of 20-liter capacity. Pre-spraying counts were made just before spraying.

The post spraying counts were made after 3, 7 and 15 days from application. Random samples of 120 leaves were picked up from each replicate. A total number of 40 infested leaves for each treatment thus examined. By means of a stereoscopic microscope insect whitefly and its parasitoid were inspected.

2.2. Statistical analysis:

The percent reduction of infestation was statistically calculated according to the equation of (Henderson and Tilton, 1955).

Where:

Ta = Post treatment insect counts

Cb = Untreated insect count before treatment

Tb = Pretreatment counts

Ca = Untreated insect count after treatment.

Results and discussion

1.Distribution of *Siphoninus phyllireae* in Egypt:

During the present work this species was recorded distributed and occurred in four governorates. These are Assuit, Dagahylia, Giza, Kafr El-Shikh and Qalyubiya. Tables (1-2) indicated that the numbers of population were reached maximum in Assuit governorate with 6421 and 6544 individuals / 80 leaves during October, 2017 and 2018. Followed by Giza governorate with 2100 and1998 individuals / 80 leaves during October, 2017 and 2018. The lowest numbers recorded in Qalyubiya with 1004 and 988 individuals / 80 leaves during October, 2017 and 2018.

These results observed the five areas of Egypt surveyed were distinctive in their locations as well as their weather. Assuit and Giza were highly abundant with *S. phyllireae*, both of these areas are nile river valley. South of nile Delta with Assuit about 300 Km south of Giza. Higher temperature in Assuit may correlate to higher whitefly. Abd-Rabou (2001b) recoreded this species distributed in three Governorates, these are, Assuit, Behira and Sinai.

Inception date	<u>Kafr El-Shikh</u>	Giza		Dagah	<u>ylia</u>	Qalyul	<u>piya</u>	Assuit
June	750	120	0	98.	5	587	1	2059
July	841	142	5	134	5	698	8	3210
Aug.	956	168	7	154	1	842	2	4522
Sep.	1451	189	4	165	52	945	j	5500
Oct.	1654	210	0	175	6	1004	4	6421
Total	5653	830	6	727	'9	407	б	16212
Mean	1130.4	1661	.2	1455	5.8	815.	3	3242.4
%	11.3	16.6	1	14.5	55	8.15	5	32.42
Table (2). Distrik	oution and occurre	nce of S	inha	ninus nh	vlliroo	e in Fo	unt d	uring 2018
Table (2). Distin	Jution and occurre		ipnoi	unus pr	iyuu eu	e m Eg	ypi u	uring 2010
Inception date		Giza	-	ahylia	-	ubiya	Ass	<u> </u>
		1	Dac	-	Qaly	~	-	<u> </u>
Inception date	Kafr El-Shikh	Giza	Dac 1	ahylia	Oalv 4	ubiya	-	uit
Inception date June	Kafr El-Shikh 633	Giza 1125	Dac 1	ahylia 001	Qaly 4	ubiya 55	-	uit 2145
Inception date June July	Kafr El-Shikh 633 754	Giza 1125 1500	Dac 1 1	ahylia 001 124	Oaly 4 6 6	ubiya 55 10	-	uit 2145 3321
Inception date June July Aug.	Kafr El-Shikh 633 754 832	Giza112515001612	Dac 1 1 1	ahvlia 001 124 235	Oalv 4 6 6 7	ubiya 55 10 95	-	uit 2145 3321 4462
Inception date June July Aug. Sep.	Kafr El-Shikh 633 754 832 1241	Giza1125150016121795	Dac 1 1 1 1 1	ahylia 001 124 235 478	Oalv 4 6 6 7 9	ubiya 55 10 95 58	Ass	uit 2145 3321 4462 5461
Inception date June July Aug. Sep. Oct.	Kafr El-Shikh 633 754 832 1241 1455	Giza 1125 1500 1612 1795 1998	Dac 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 6	ahylia 001 124 235 478 650	Oaly 4 6 6 7 9 3	ubiya 55 10 95 58 88	Ass	uit 2145 3321 4462 5461 6544

Egypt. J. Plant Prot. Res. Inst. (2019), 2 (1): 72-80

 Table (1): Distribution and occurrence of Siphoninus phyllireae in Egypt during 2017

D

0.

2. Efficacy of natural compounds on *Siphoninus phyllireae* and its parasitoid, *Eretmocerus parasiphonini* on pomegranate:

2.1. Efficacy of natural compounds on *Siphoninus phyllireae* and its parasitoid, *Eretmocerus parasiphonini* on pomegranate during the first season (2017):

In the first season, the average pre-spraying counts of larval stages of *S. phillyreae* and the average number of the parasitoid, *E. parasiphonini* are 0.3-4.5 / leaf (Table,3). Results in Table (4) indicated that in the first season (2017), the average reduction of the three compounds (Jojoba oil, *P. fumosoroseus* and Sulfur) gave moderate toxic effect against *S. phillyreae*, percent reduction ranged between (39-45%) and while its parasitoid, *E. parasiphonini*, percent reduction ranged between (72-81%). Azadirachtin compound gave 56% and

72% for *S. phillyreae* and its parasitoid, *E. parasiphonini* mortality, respectively. On the other hand, *Malathion* gave high efficacy against *S. phillyreae* (75%) and *E. parasiphonini* (93%). (Table, 4).

2.2. Efficacy of natural compounds on *Siphoninus phyllireae* and its parasitoid, *Eretmocerus parasiphonini* on pomegranate during the second season (2018):

In the second season, the average pre-spraying counts of larval stages of S. phillyreae and the average number of the parasitoid, E. parasiphonini are 0.3-4.6/ leaf (Table, 5). Results in Table (6) indicated that in second year (2018), the average reduction of the three compounds (Jojoba oil, P. fumosoroseus and Sulfur) gave moderate toxic effect against S. phillyreae, percent reduction ranged between (41-53%)and while its parasitiod, E. parasiphonini, percent reduction ranged between (72-76%).

Azadirachtin compound gave 63% and 63% for S. phillyreae and and its parasitoid, E. parasiphonini mortality, respectively. On the other hand, malathion gave high efficacy against S. phillyreae (85%) and E. parasiphonini In the present work (95 %) (Table, 6). the traditional compound, malathion gave high efficacy against S. phillyreae ranged between (75-85%) and E. parasiphonini (93-95 %) during the two years under consideration. Abdel-Salam et al. (1971), Abdel-Salam et al. (1972), Shaheen et al. (1973), Darwish and Farghal (1990), Radwan et al. (1990), Mohamed et al. (1992), Hegab and Moawad (1994) and Hassan (1996) evaluated the efficiency of some traditional compounds as spray for the control of the whitefly. The results gave effective control and the mortality ranged from 87.95 to 96.75%. Kumar et al. (2005) tested the efficacy of two different commercial neem products (NeemAzal T/S 1% azadirachtin and NeemAzalU 17% azadirachtin) against the whitefly. Results indicated that reduction ranged from 74 to 82%. Results here research observed the mortality of Azadirachtin compound gave 56 -63% and 63-72% for S. phillyreae and its parasitoid, E. parasiphonini during the investigation, two vears of the respectively. Azadirachtin-A (Aza-A) also recorded as an effective control measure of the whitefly by Badary (1997) and Swaran et al. (2008).

Also, during the present work Azadirachtin gave moderate mortality ranged between 56 -63% during the first and second years of the investigation, respectively. Abd-Rabou (2001a) tested Neemazal 3ml/L on the parasitoids of the whitefly on different host plants

and in different locations in Egypt. Results observed the present parasitism reduced from 37.1 to 24.5% for the parasitoid. Here the mortalities ranged from 64.17% to 61.30%. Successful parasitism was the lowest when adult parasitoids were introduced after dipping second instars in the Melia azedarach L. fruit extract and when whitefly nymphs were dipped in extract 2 d after parasitism. However, the level of parasitism in parasitized nymphs dipped in extracts 4 and 8 d after parasitism was comparable with that of the control. The number of dead whitefly nymphs in combined treatments declined as the age of whitefly nymphs at application increased, with a concomitant increase in successful parasitism (Abou-Fakhr and McAuslane, 2006).

Simmons and Abd-Rabou (2005) stated that the compounds when were sprayed on the crops at the rates of 5 ml/liter for jojoba oil, 1.5 to 2.5 ml/liter for M-Pede®; and 2 to 3 ml/liter for NeemAzal®. Regardless of concentration, parasitism by either (Hymenoptera: Encarsia sophia Aphelinidae) or E. mundus was low (< than 5% by each of 2 species). Parasitism was relatively high (~25-40% by each of two species) for crops treated with either NeemAzal® or M-Pede® at the lowest In this investigation, concentrations. results in indicated that in the first and second seasons (2013-2014), the average reduction of the three compounds (Jojoba oil, Peacilomyces fumosoroseus, Sulfur) gave moderate toxic effect against S. phillyreae, percent reduction ranged between (39-53%) and while its parasitiod E. parasiphonini, percent reduction ranged between (72-81%). Azadirachtin compound gave 56% and 72% for S. phillyreae and and its parasitoid, E. parasiphonini mortality, respectively.

Treatment	Rate of	1	Pre spraying count Average number after:							er:												
	Applic. /L.W						One week						Т	vo wee	eks		Three weeks					
		1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	P.	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	P.	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	P.	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	P.	
Malathion	1.5 ml/L.	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.9	0.1	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.1	
Azadirachtin	5 ml/L.	4.5	3.7	3.6	3.4	0.4	1.7	1.9	1.9	2.09	0.2	1.1	1.6	1.8	1.9	0.1	1.1	1.4	1.6	1.6	0.1	
Jojoba oil	10 ml/L.	3.9	3.8	3.6	3.4	0.3	1.7	2.2	2.3	2.39	0.1	1.9	1.9	2.1	2.2	0.1	1.2	1.6	1.9	1.9	0.1	
Sulfur	2.5mg/Lw.	3.7	3.5	3.4	3.3	0.4	1.9	2.2	2.4	2.5	0.1	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.3	0.1	1.4	1.8	1.9	2.0	0.1	
Paecilomyces fumosoroeus	5 ml/L.	3.9	3.8	3.5	3.4	0.4	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.5	0.1	2.2	2.3	2.2	2.4	0.1	1.6	1.9	2.0	2.2	0.1	
Control	-	4.7	3.8	3.6	3.4	0.4	4.5	3.7	3.5	3.4	0.4	4.5	3.8	3.3	3.4	0.4	3.9	3.5	3.4	3.3	0.3	

Egypt. J. Plant Prot. Res. Inst. (2019), 2 (1): 72-80

Table (3): Average number of the *Siphoninus phyllireae* and parasitoid on pomegranate trees pre and after application of various control agents during season, 2017.

Table (4): Reduction percent induced by application various control agents for management the *Siphoninus phyllireae* and parasitoid on pomegranate trees during season 2017.

Treatment			Reduction percent after:															
	Rate of Applic.	One week						Т	'wo we	eks			Mean Total %					
	/L.W	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	Р.	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	Р.	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	Р.	W.	Р.
Malathion	1.5	88.5	85.3	81.3	73.2	89.1	90.	86.5	83.9	79.1	93.1	95.9	94.3	90.4	90.2	96.0	75	93
Azadirachtin	5 ml/L.	68.1	48.7	43.1	40.4	57.3	68.9	55.8	45.7	43.9	76.6	70.3	58.8	52.5	51.2	80.7	56	72
Jojoba oil	10 ml/L.	54.4	41.4	35.3	29.6	69.2	50.4	46.4	36.5	34.2	79.2	62.8	52.4	42.2	42.6	80.7	45	77
Sulfur	2.5	45.6	36.6	28.0	25.6	75.0	45.1	41.8	35.6	31.8	84.2	54.7	43.2	41.2	36.9	86.5	40	81
Paecilom-yces fumosoroeus	5 ml/L.	38.0	36.1	29.8	25.3	62.2	40.8	38.8	33.1	28.8	74.0	49. 8	42.5	39.9	34.3	78.6	39	72

Abd-Rabou , 2019

	igents uurn	ig scas	011, 2 0	10.																	
	Rate of	Pre s	sprayi	ng cou	nt		Average number after:														
Treatment	Applic.						One	week				Two	weeks	3			Thre				
	/L.W	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	Р.	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	P.	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	P.	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	Р.
Malathion	1.5 ml/L.	4.4	3.9	3.7	3.5	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.1	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.8	0.1
Azadirach-tin	5 ml/L.	4.6	3.9	3.8	3.7	0.3	1.7	1.4	1.5	1.9	0.1	1.4	1.1	1.3	1.5	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.1
Jojoba oil	10 ml/L.	4.3	4.1	3.9	3.7	0.3	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	0.1	2.0	1.8	1.9	2.0	0.1	1.2	1.1	1.3	1.3	0.1
Sulfur	2.5 mg/Lw.	4.0	3.8	3.7	3.6	0.3	2.3	2.0	2.0	2.2	0.1	2.0	1.9	2.9	2.1	0.1	1.9	1.8	1.9	1.8	0.1
Paecilom-yces fumosoroeus	5 ml/L.	4.3	4.0	3.8	3.7	0.4	2.5	2.9	2.1	2.4	0.1	2.2	2.1	2.2	2.2	0.1	1.9	1.8	2.0	2.0	0.1
Control	-	4.5	4.2	3.9	3.8	0.4	4.5	3.7	3.5	3.6	0.4	4.5	4.0	3.8	3.7	0.4	2.1	2.2	2.1	2.1	0.4

Table (5): Average number of the *Siphoninus phyllireae* and parasitoid on pomegranate trees pre and after application of various control agents during season, 2018.

Table (6): Reduction percent induced by application various control agents for management the *Siphoninus phyllireae* and parasitoid on pomegranate trees during season 2018.

Treatment	Rate of	Reduc	tion per	rcent af	ter:													
	Applic. /L.W		(One wee	k			Т	wo weel	KS			Mean Total %					
		1st	2nd	3 rd	4th	P.	1st	2nd	3 rd	4th	P.	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	P.	W.	P.
Malathion	1.5	88.4	82.6	76.8	75.5	9	95.3	91.2	90.3	90.3	95	95.4	93.4	90.6	90.0	97	88.3	95
Azadirach-tin	5 ml/L.	63.1	61.9	55.7	46.2	5	69.3	68.6	64.7	57.1	64	73.0	71.1	66.7	64.1	74	63	63
Jojoba oil	10	51.7	42.5	40.2	38.5	69	54.8	51.2	49.2	43.8	78	55.0	52.2	50.9	49.2	82	53	76
Sulfur	2.5	44.5	41.3	37.7	35.8	68	50.8	45.1	44.3	38.8	75	51.5	50.2	45.9	42.3	79	44	74
Paecilomyces fumosoroeus	5 ml/L.	43.4	39.9	33.6	32.3	67	49.3	41.0	40.8	38.3	74	49.8	43.7	42.9	39.8	77	41	72

References

- Abdel-Salam, A. M.; Assem, M. A. and Abdel-Shaheed, G. A. (1971): Experimental studies on tomato pests (1) effect on some pesticides on tomato pests in the UAR. Zeit. Ang. Entomol., 69: 55-59.
- Abdel-Salam, A. M.; Assem, M. A.; Abdel-Shaheed, G. A. and Hammad, R. (1972): Chemical control of some squash pests in UAR. Zeit. Ang. Entomol., 70: 189-174.
- Abd-Rabou, S. (1998): The efficacy of indigenous parasitoids in the biological control of *Siphoninus phillyreae* (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) on pomegranate in Egypt. Pan-Pacific Entomolgists, 74 (3): 169-173.
- Abd-Rabou, S. (2001a): Action of Neemazal on parasitoids attacking (tabaci Bemisia complex) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae). Practice oriented results on use of plant extracts and pheromones in integrated and biological pest control. Proceedings of the 10th Workshop, 170-174 pp.
- Abd-Rabou, S. (2001b): Whiteflies of Egypt: Taxonomy, biology, ecology and means of their control. Adv. Agric. Res. In Egypt, 3 (1): 1-74.
- Abd-Rabou, S. and Ahmed, N. (2007): Survey on host plants, distribution and natural enemies of the pomegranate whitefly, Siphoninus phillyreae (Haliday) (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). J. Agric. Res.85(5): 1695-1701.
- Abou-Fakhr, H. E. and McAuslane, H. J. (2006): Effect of *Melia azedarach* L. Extract on *Bemisia argentifolii* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) and Its Biocontrol Agent *Eretmocerus rui* (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae). Environmental Entomology, 35 (3): pp. 740-745.
- Abreu Junior, H. (1998): Práticas alternativas de controle de pra-gas e doenças na agricultura. Campinas-SP: EMOPI, pp. 115.

- Adeyemi, M.M.H. (2010): The potential of secondary metabolites in plant material as deterrents against insect pests: A review. African Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry, 4(11): 243-246.
- Badary, H. A. (1997): New approaches to control whiteflies to minimize the use of insecticides and environmental pollution. M Sc. Institute of Environmental Studies and Researcher, Ain-Shams University, 134 pp.
- Bellows, T.S.; Paine, T.D.; Arakawa, K.Y.; Meisenbacher, C.; Leddy, P. and Kabashimo, J. (1990): Biological control sought for ash whitefly. California Agriculture, 44: 4-6.
- Darwish, Y. A. and Farghal, A. I. (1990): Evaluation of pesticide activity against the cotton whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.) and associated natural enemies on cotton plant under field conditions in Assiut. Assuit J. Agric. Sci., 21 (5): 331-339.
- Dayan, F.E.; Cantrell, C.L. and Duke, S.O. (2009): Natural products in crop protection. Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry, 17(12): 4022-4034.
- Evans, G. and Abd-Rabou, S. (2005): Two new species and additional records of Egyptian Aphelinidae. Zootaxa,833:1-7.
- Fernandez, E. ; Gravalos, C. ; Haro, P.J.
 ; Cifuentes, D. and Bielza, P. (2009)
 : Insecticide resistance status of *Bemisia tabaci* Q-biotype in southeastern Spain. Journal of Pest Management Science, 65: 885-891.
- Hassan, A. H. (1996): Ecological studies on whitefly in vegetable cultivations at Ismailia governorate with special reference to an integrated control approach. Department of Plant Protection, Fac. of Agriculture, Suez Canal University, pp. 266.
- Hegab, A. H. and Moawad, G. M. (1994): Evaluation of programs to control the

cotton whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* in tomato and squash fields and reduce the spread of TYLCV in Egypt. Abstracts Inter. *Bemisia* Workshop, Israel 3-7 Oct. 1994.

- Henderson, C. F. and Tilton, E.W.) (1955): Tests with acaricides against the brown wheat mite. J. Econ. Entomol., 48(2): 157-161.
- Horowitz, A.R.; Kontsedalov, S. and Ishaaya, I. (2004): Dynamics of resistance to the neonicotinoids acetamiprid and thiamethoxam in *Bemisia tabaci* (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). Journal of Economic Entomology, 97: 2051-2056.
- **Isman, M.B. (2006):** Botanical insecticides, deterrents, and repellents in modern agriculture and an increasingly regulated world. Annual Review of Entomology, 51:45-66.
- Kumar, P.; Poehling, H. M. and Borgemeister, C. (2005): Effects of different application methods of azadirachtin against sweetpotato whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* Gennadius (Hom., Aleyrodidae) on tomato plants. Journal of applied entomology, 122 (7): 397-399
- Mohamed, A. K.; El-Kabbany, S. H.; Sewify, G. H. and Abdallah, D. (1992): Efficacy of some insecticides against the cotton whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.) with special regard to their side effect on predators. Bull. Soc. Ent. Egypt, Econ. Ser., 19: 9-17.
- Radwan, H. S. A.; Abo-El-Ghar, G. E. S.;
 Rashwan, M. H. and El-Bermawy,
 Z. A. (1990): Impact of several insecticides and insect growth regulators against the whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.) in cotton fields. Bull. Soc. Ent. Egypt, 18: 81-92.
- Schmutterer, H. (1990): Properties and potential of natural pesticides from the neem tree Azadirachta indica. Annual Review of Entomology, 35: 271-297.

- Shaheen, A. H.; El-Ezz, A. A. and Assem, M. A. (1973): Chemical control of Cucurbits pests at Kom Ombo. Agri. Res. Rev., 51: 103-107.
- Simmons, A.M. and Abd-Rabou, S. (2005): Incidence of parasitism of *Bemisia tabaci* (homoptera: aleyrodidae) in three vegtable crops after application of biorational insecticides. J. Entomol Science, 40:474-477.
- Swaran, D.; Suresh, W.; Jitendra, K.; Shivendra, S.; Gyanendra, S. and Balraj, P. (2008): Field efficacy of azadirachtin-A, tetrahydroazadirachtin-A, NeemAzal[®] and endosulfan against key pests of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) . Pest Management Science, 64 (11): 1187-1194.