



Egyptian Journal of Plant  
Protection Research Institute

www.ejppri.eg.net



**Population density of certain piercing sucking insects (Hemiptera) and common predators in relation to sowing dates of soybean at Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate**

Gawad, A.A.<sup>1 2</sup>; El-Sarand, E.A.<sup>1</sup> and Somaa, H.M.H.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Plant Protection Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Desert and Environmental Agricultural, Matrouh University.

**ARTICLE INFO**

*Article History*

Received: 6 /7/2021

Accepted: 28/ 9 /2021

**Keywords**

Prevailing weather,  
piercing-sucking,  
aphid, predators,  
soybean, sowing dates  
and whitefly.

**Abstract:**

Soybean, *Glycine max* (L.) is attacked by many insect pests in the field, among them, are piercing-sucking insects, which cause damage directly by sucking plant juice or indirectly by transmission of diseases causative agent, the current work was to study the effect of two sowing dates (15<sup>th</sup> May and 30<sup>th</sup> May) of soybean on numbers of insects and common predators at the Farm of Sakha Agric. Res. Station, Kafr El-Sheikh during of 2019 and 2020, weekly counts showed four species of insects: *Aphis gossypii* (Glov.) (Hemiptera: Aphididae); *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae); *Empoasca* spp. (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) and *Nezara viridula* L. (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) on soybean plants. *B. tabaci* was the most dominant insect species. The common predatory insects were *Chrysoperla carnea* (Steph.) (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae), *Coccinella undecimpunctata* (L.) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae), *Scymnus* spp. (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae), *Orius interruptus* (Say) (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) and *Paederus alfieri* (Koch.) (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) in addition to true spiders. Soybean sown on 30<sup>th</sup> May harbored the highest number of the considered insects, while the least population occurred on 15<sup>th</sup> May; the populations were higher in the second season than in the first one except whitefly. Plants of 15<sup>th</sup> May and 30<sup>th</sup> May harbored significantly higher population of whitefly and green stink bug in the second season, while aphid and predators induced insignificant difference between two sowing dates. Seasonal means appeared significantly differences of whitefly by first and second sowing dates, but, green stink bug harbored significantly higher differences in the first date, aphid and predators induced insignificant difference among two seasons. So, the differences in the insect population from season to another may be due to the differences in the prevailing weather factors and/or the existed natural enemies. However, the gained results are of importance in the development the control measures of insect pests in integrating management program in soybean fields.

## Introduction

Soybean, *Glycine max* (L.) is one of the most important legume crops all over the world, as it shares with about 30% of the total world production of oil and more than 60% of the world production of high protein meal. Also, Soybean seeds contain about 18-24% oil, 30-50% protein and a considerable amounts of main amino acids, especially lysine as well as phosphorus, calcium and vitamins (A, B1, B2, B6, B12, B19 and C) which are important for human and animal feeding ( Abou-Attia and Youssef, 2007 and Netam *et al.*, 2013). Soybean can fix a considerable amount of nitrogen of the soil and enrich soil fertility (Oerke and Dehne, 2004).

Soybean fields are attacked by a large number of arthropod pest species especially piercing-sucking insects. The most important of which are *Aphis gossypii* (Glov.) (Hemiptera: Aphididae); *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae); *Empoasca* spp. ( Hemiptera: Cicadellidae ) and *Nezara viridula* L. (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) ( El-Sarand, 2013 and Eissa, 2018). They cause damage in plant quality and quantity either directly by sucking plant juice or indirectly by transmission of the diseases causative agent ( Iqbal *et al.*, 2008).

In Egypt, farmers still greatly depend on the insecticide application to control insect pests in soybean fields. Through the widespread and sometimes indiscriminate use of pesticides, a number of serious problems have arisen destruction of beneficial arthropods and development of pest resistance to many pesticides and disruption of the ecological system. Therefore, extensive and intensive research efforts are invested to minimize the undesirable effects by using safe practices. Among these measures, are cultural practices and safe compounds against insect pests (Dent, 1991 and Mensah *et al.*, 2005).

It is well known that the relation between the population sizes of the most serious insect pests and those of their associated natural enemies is an essential ecological process required for the regulation of insect population (Kogan and Herzog, 1980).

Therefore, this work was carried out at Sakha Agricultural Research Station Farm, Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate during two successive soybean growing seasons, 2018 and 2019 to study effect of two sowing dates on piercing sucking insects and common predators to find out an excellent opportunity for developing the integrated pest control programs.

## Materials and methods

A field experiment was conducted at the Experimental Farm of Sakha Agricultural Research Station, (A.R.C.), Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate during two successive growing seasons of soybean, *G. max* 2019 and 2020 to evaluate the effect of two sowing dates of soybean, 15<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> of May on the population density of four piercing-sucking insects and common predators. For every sowing date, an area of about 1200 m<sup>2</sup> was divided into four plots and sown with soybean seeds Giza 111 variety. The normal cultural practices were applied without pesticide treatments throughout the whole growing season.

To determine the populations of aphids (Nymphs and adults), leafhoppers (Nymphs and adults) and whitefly (Adults), a weekly sample of 20 leaflets representing upper, middle and lower levels of plants was selected at random from each plot for every sowing date. The number of the considered insects was directly counted in the early morning. In the field using a suitable hand lens. The same leaflets were picked up and transferred into paper bags to the laboratory for examining and counting of the nymphal

stages of the whitefly by a binocular microscope.

As for the green stink bug and the common associated predators, a weekly sample of 10 plants was randomly selected from each plot. The numbers of the considered insects were counted directly and recorded in the field by the aid of lens 8 xs. The existed predators were *Chrysoperla carnea* (Steph.) (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) (Larvae), *Coccinella undecimpunctata* (L.) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) (Larvae and adults), *Scymnus* spp. (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) (Nymphs and adults), *Orius interruptus* (Say) (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) (Adults) and *Paederus alfieri* (Koch.) (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) (Adults) and true spiders. The inspection began four weeks after sowing and continued till the end of the season.

The data of the means of the considered insects and the predators were statistically analyzed using t-test to compare the differences between them.

## Results and discussion

### 1. Effect of soybean sowing dates on the population density of certain piercing-sucking insects and the common associated predators:

Data presented in Table (1) clear effect on two sowing dates of soybean (15<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> May) on the population density of piercing-sucking insects and the common predators in season of 2019. It was observed four insect species: aphids, *Aphis* spp.; whitefly, *B. tabaci*; leafhoppers, *Empoasca* spp. and green stink bug, *N. viridula* on the plants sown in the two dates. Also, five predatory insects were found: *C. carnea*, *C. undecimpunctata*, *Scymnus* spp. *O. interruptus* and *P.*

*alfieri* in addition to true spiders. Because of very low numbers of predators it was taken in consideration as total.

With regard to aphid *A. gossypii* the population started to appear on plants of 15<sup>th</sup> May in low numbers on the 30<sup>th</sup> Jun. with a mean of 3.00 insects /20 leaflets, then population increased gradually recording a peak of 21.50 insects/20leaflets on 10<sup>th</sup> Sept. In the second sowing date, aphids appeared on 21<sup>st</sup> Jun. with a mean of 5.00 insects /20 leaflets, then, fluctuated recording a peak of 23.75 insects/20 leaflets on 3<sup>rd</sup> Sept.

As for the whitefly, *B. tabaci*, the population began to appear in low number by 14<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> Jun. on plants of the first and second sowing dates, then, the population increased gradually forming two peaks of abundance for the first and second sowing dates by Jul. 28<sup>th</sup> and August 5<sup>th</sup>, respectively. The first peak was represented by 183.25 and 200.00 insects / 20 leaflets for the first and second sowing dates, respectively, while the second peak recorded 459.25 and 460.25 insects by 21<sup>st</sup> Sept. and 10<sup>th</sup> Sept., respectively. After that, gradually decreased in the population occurred till the end of the season.

Regarding the leafhoppers, the results cleared that the insects appeared in relatively low numbers on June 14<sup>th</sup> (3.25 insects/20 leaflets) for the first sowing date and on June 21<sup>st</sup> by a mean of 3.25 insects /20 leaflets for the second sowing date. Then, population fluctuated during the season, recording high numbers on 26<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> August on plants of the first and second date with means of 20.50 and 22.50 insects/20 leaflets, respectively. After that, the population gradually decreased till the end of the growing season.

**Table (1):**population density of *Aphis gossypii* , *Bemisia tabaci*, *Empoasca* spp., *Nezara viridula* and predators on soybean plants sown in two dates in season of 2019 at Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate.

I.D.	Mean number/20 leaflets						Mean number/10 plants			
	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>		<i>Bemisia tabaci</i>		<i>Empoasca</i> spp.		<i>Nezara viridula</i>		Predators	
	15 <sup>th</sup> May	30 <sup>th</sup> May	15 <sup>th</sup> May	30 <sup>th</sup> May	15 <sup>th</sup> May	30 <sup>th</sup> May	15 <sup>th</sup> May	30 <sup>th</sup> May	15 <sup>th</sup> May	30 <sup>th</sup> May
Jun. 14	0.00	0.00	7.00	33.25	3.25	0.00	0.25	0.00	4.00	7.50
Jun. 21	0.00	5.00	10.00	37.25	3.50	3.25	1.00	3.60	7.50	8.00
Jun. 30	3.00	5.75	20.25	64.25	5.50	4.00	1.50	2.00	11.00	6.75
Jul.7	5.25	8.50	33.25	108.00	6.50	5.75	3.25	2.50	10.00	8.25
Jul. 15	6.50	12.75	64.25	150.25	11.50	9.75	4.50	10.50	10.50	10.25
Jul. 21	7.50	11.00	93.50	160.25	10.00	11.50	5.75	10.00	11.00	9.25
Jul. 28	8.75	13.50	183.25	167.50	10.75	13.75	6.25	10.50	12.00	11.25
Aug. 5	10.75	14.25	165.00	200.00	10.50	15.00	7.25	11.50	12.00	11.50
Aug. 12	12.00	19.50	129.25	185.50	14.25	22.50	8.75	12.00	10.00	9.00
Aug. 19	15.00	20.00	150.25	200.75	15.00	17.00	3.25	13.00	12.75	12.00
Aug. 26	18.50	22.50	160.25	223.50	20.50	15.00	8.50	15.25	9.00	13.25
Sept. 3	19.50	23.75	185.50	294.45	15.00	7.75	9.50	16.50	9.50	12.00
Sept. 10	21.50	17.75	200.75	460.25	12.00	8.00	6.50	15.00	9.25	11.00
Sept. 17	11.50	13.00	294.50	321.50	4.75	6.75	12.50	6.00	9.00	9.75
Sept. 21	11.25	7.00	459.25	160.00	8.00	3.00	15.00	3.00	6.25	5.75
Sept. 28	8.00	5.00	322.50	150.50	6.75	2.75	5.00	3.50	4.00	4.50
Seasonal mean ±SE*	9.94±0.49	12.45±0.19	154.92±2.18	182.33±2.77	9.86±0.16	9.11±0.08	6.17±0.33	8.43±0.20	9.23±0.19	9.38±0.20

SE\*=Stander error I.D. Inspection date

Concerning of the green stink bug, *N. viridula*, the population firstly appeared on 14<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> Jun. for the first and second sowing dates, respectively. After that, the Population increased gradually recording two peaks on Aug.12<sup>th</sup> and Sept.17<sup>th</sup> by means of 8.75 and 15.00 insects/10 plants, respectively in first sowing dates, while one peak was formed on Sept. 3<sup>rd</sup> on plants of the second date by mean 16.50 insects/10 plants.

As for the common predators in soybean based on the total number of

the existed predators it was observed that the population started to appear by 14<sup>th</sup> Jun. on the plants of two dates. After that, the population suddenly increased forming one peak for first sowing date and the second one by 19<sup>th</sup> and 26<sup>th</sup> August in first and second sowing dates with means by 12.75 and 13.25 individuals/10 plants, respectively . *C. carnea* was the most dominant predator forming percent 28.79% and 30.58 of the total predators, while *O. interrupts* was least one by 6.12% and 5.33 of the total predators in

first and second sowing dates ,respectively .

Data presented in Table (2) show the population density of piercing-sucking and the common predators on the two sowing dates of soybean in season of 2020. It was observed four insect species and five predatory insects, in addition to true spiders. The same species were observed similar to the first season.

As for aphid, *A. gossypii* , appeared on soybean plants of the first date in relatively low numbers on 21<sup>st</sup> June by a mean of 1.00 insects /20 leaflets .After that, the population increased gradually to reach high levels recording peak on 16<sup>th</sup> of September with a mean of 37.00 insects/20 leaflets, while for the second sowing date aphids appeared on 21<sup>st</sup> Jun. (5.50 insects /20 leaflets), then fluctuated recording one peak (35.00 insects/20 leaflets) on 9<sup>th</sup> of September and continued decreased till the end of season.

With regard to the whitefly, *B.tabaci* , the population began to appear in moderate number by 14<sup>th</sup> Jun. on plants of the first and second sowing dates, then, the population gradually increased to record two peaks of abundance for every sowing date. The first peak took place by July 22<sup>nd</sup> for the first and second sowing dates by means of 110.50 and 234.00 insects/20 leaflets, respectively. The second peak occurred on plants on 19<sup>th</sup> and 26<sup>th</sup> Aug.in the first and second dates by means of 67.00 and 110.00 insects, respectively.

The results revealed that the plants of the two dates in season of 2019 as well as those of the second date in the season of 2020 harbored the highest number of populations with means of 154.92, 182.33 and 100.09 insects, respectively. The plants of the first dates in the season of 2019 received the lowest number by means of 50.85 insects. Also, the population density of the insect was

relatively higher during the first season than the second one.

As for, leafhoppers, *Empoasca* spp., the population appeared in relatively low numbers on June 14<sup>th</sup> by a mean of 3.00 insects/20 leaflets for the first sowing date and on June 21<sup>st</sup> by a mean of 3.25 insects / 20 leaflets for the second sowing date, then the population recorded the highest number of abundance on 9<sup>th</sup> and 23<sup>rd</sup> Sept. on the plants of the first and, second date with means of 19.50 and 21.25 insects/20 leaflets, respectively after that, the population declined gradually till the end of season. According to the seasonal mean throughout the study period, results revealed that plants of the second date harbored the highest number (13.91 insects / 20 leaflets) for the second seasons. On the other hand, the lowest number of the population (9.11 insects / 20 leaflets) took place on plants of second date for first seasons. Also, the population density of leafhoppers was higher in the second season than in the first one. Concerning of the green stink bug, *N. viridula* , started to appear on soybean plants of the two sowing dates on 14<sup>th</sup> June. The population of *N. viridula* increased gradually forming the highest number recorded two peaks on 22<sup>nd</sup> Jul. and 12<sup>th</sup> Aug. with means of 16.50 and 19.00 insects/10 plants, respectively in first sowing date ,while in second one was formed one peak on Aug.19<sup>th</sup> by means 15.50 insects/10 plants. After that, the population decreased till the end of the season. The population density of the insect was relatively higher in the second season than in the first one. The results revealed that the second sown plants in the first season were more preferable to infestation by *N. viridula* and first sowing plants in the second season.

As for, the common predators with piercing sucking insects, data cleared that the common predatory

complex fluctuated during the inspection period and the population started in moderate numbers by June 14<sup>th</sup> on plants of the first and second sowing dates, then, the population increased gradually forming one peak in first sowing date and in the second one by means of 19.00 and 16.25 individuals/10 plants by 12<sup>th</sup> and 26<sup>th</sup> August in first and second sowing

dates. *C. carnea* was the most dominant predator forming 29.11 and 41.63% of the total predators, while *O. interrupts* was least one by 7.12 and 2.02% of the total predators in first and second sowing date, respectively.

**Table (2): Population density of *Aphis gossypii*, *Bemisia tabaci*, *Empoasca* spp. , *Nezara viridula* and predators on soybean fields during season of 2020.**

I.D.	Mean number/20leaflets						Mean number/10 plants			
	<i>Aphis gossypii</i>		<i>Bemisia tabaci</i>		<i>Empoasca</i> spp.		<i>Nezara viridula</i>		Predators	
	15 <sup>th</sup> May	30 <sup>th</sup> May	15 <sup>th</sup> May	30 <sup>th</sup> May	15 <sup>th</sup> May	30 <sup>th</sup> May	15 <sup>th</sup> May	30 <sup>th</sup> May	15 <sup>th</sup> May	30 <sup>th</sup> May
Jun. 14	0.00	0.00	20.00	25.00	3.00	3.25	2.75	0.50	2.75	4.25
Jun. 21	1.00	0.00	30.00	45.25	3.75	4.25	6.25	1.00	6.25	7.00
Jun. 28	1.75	0.00	63.00	143.25	5.00	6.25	9.50	1.00	9.50	7.75
Jul.8	2.00	5.50	96.00	148.00	7.50	8.50	12.50	1.50	12.50	8.50
Jul. 15	3.00	8.75	100.00	158.25	12.75	15.75	13.25	2.50	13.25	10.00
Jul. 22	7.00	12.00	110.50	234.00	15.75	16.75	16.50	4.00	16.50	12.50
Jul. 29	8.00	15.50	59.50	54.00	14.00	16.00	11.50	7.00	11.50	12.75
Aug. 5	10.00	18.75	60.00	88.50	13.00	17.00	11.00	15.50	11.00	10.75
Aug. 12	10.50	20.00	65.00	90.00	13.00	15.00	19.00	12.00	19.00	14.50
Aug. 19	11.25	22.75	67.00	100.25	15.00	18.00	11.25	11.00	11.25	16.00
Aug. 26	13.00	25.00	60.00	110.00	16.00	16.00	12.50	5.00	12.50	16.25
Sep. 2	13.50	27.25	30.00	105.75	17.25	17.25	14.00	8.00	11.50	10.75
Sep. 9	22.75	35.00	20.75	100.00	19.50	19.50	13.75	5.00	13.75	8.75
Sep. 6	37.00	31.25	17.00	87.00	14.50	20.50	11.25	8.00	11.25	4.50
Sep. 23	32.00	29.00	12.00	71.67	10.00	21.25	10.25	9.00	10.25	10.75
Sep. 30	30.00	30.00	3.00	40.50	5.25	7.25	5.00	6.25	5.00	11.00
Seasonal mean ±SE*	12.67±0.23	17.55±0.72	50.85±1.02	100.09±2.20	11.58±0.44	13.91±0.39	11.27±0.65	6.08±0.12	11.11±0.82	10.38±0.98

SE\*=Stander error I.D. Inspection dates

From the previous results, it can be concluded that, during the first season, the maximum density of aphids

and whitefly was nearly observed during the period from mid-July to end of September synchronizing with the

occurrence of higher population of the predators, while the leafhoppers and green stink bug attained its maximum density during the period from mid-August to end of September. Regarding the second season, the considered insects recorded maximum densities during the period from late July to mid-September synchronizing with the higher density of the associated predators. However, the interaction between the insects and their natural enemies is an essential ecological process that contributes the regulation of insect population (Dent, 1991). In general, results indicated that, sowing date of soybean had a significant effect on the population density of aphids, as the second sowing date (30<sup>th</sup> May) exhibited the highest densities of aphids, where the lowest densities of aphids took place on the first sowing date (15<sup>th</sup> May). Also, the population density of aphids was higher during the second season than the first one for the two sowing dates.

However, the aphid population greatly differed according to the sowing dates, 15<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> May. Results were in agreement with those of Karungi *et al.* (1999) who found that the early planting of soybean reduced aphid infestation. On contrast, Mousa (2004) revealed that soybean plants sown early on April 24<sup>th</sup> harbored the highest infestation levels of *Aphis* spp. as compared with those on May 11<sup>th</sup>. The results were agreeing with those of Abd El-Aty (2011), who studied the effect of two sowing dates; 15<sup>th</sup> May and 15<sup>th</sup> June on the infestation of soybean with *A. gossypii*. The total number of *A. gossypii* during the two sowing dates in the first season indicated that the difference was insignificant, while in the second season, the number of aphids was insignificantly higher in the first sowing date than in the second one. Also, El-Sarand (2013) found that soybean sown in early May harbored

the lowest numbers of *A. gossypii* population. Also, Results were agreement with those of Eissa (2018) she revealed that plants in mid -and late May received the highest number of aphids. These results are in agreement with those of Rizk *et al.* (1990b) who showed that infestation of soybean plants with *B. tabaci* increased with the later planting date (1<sup>st</sup> Jun.). Results agreeing with those of El-Sarand (2013), who found that soybean plants sown on the 1<sup>st</sup> of June harbored higher densities of *B. tabaci*, while the first sowing date (1<sup>st</sup> May) had the lowest numbers of insects during 2009 and 2010 seasons.

Also, the second sowing date exhibited moderate numbers. Kalyan and Ameta (2017) found that the mean population of whitefly in the first week of July was significantly higher than the third week of July during two seasons in India. Eissa (2018) revealed that the plants of the third date (30<sup>th</sup> May) harbored the highest numbers of *B. tabaci* during the first and second season, respectively. On the other hand, the lowest mean numbers took place on the plants of the first date (5<sup>th</sup> May) in both seasons.

In another hand, these results were contrasted with Rizk *et al.* (1990a) they found that soybean planted earliest (1<sup>st</sup> Apr.), had the highest infestation of *Empoasca decipiens* Paoli. Similar trend was reached by El-Sarand (2013), who found that soybean sown in early May harbored the lowest numbers of leafhoppers, while plants sown in late May received higher population. Also, Eissa (2018) revealed that plants were sown on 30<sup>th</sup> May harbored the highest number of leafhoppers for the first and second season and on contrast the plants sown 5<sup>th</sup> May.

On contrary, Rizk *et al.* (1990b) found that the soybean plants sown earliest (1<sup>st</sup> Apr.) had the largest infestation of *N. viridula*. These results

disagree with those of Adamu *et al.* (1999) who found that the sowing date of soybean did not significantly affect the infestation with *N. viridula*. Also, Gore *et al.* (2006) found that the earliest planting date (Late March-early April) had the lowest densities of stink bugs, whereas the latest planting date (Late May-early June) had the highest densities of stink bugs. The results agree with those of Gore *et al.*, (2006), who found that the earliest planting date (15<sup>th</sup> Apr.) of soybean had the lowest densities of stink bugs, whereas the late planting (5<sup>th</sup> Jun.) attract and harbored the highest densities of stink bugs. Also, Omoloye *et al.* (2015) found that *N. viridula* population was significantly higher at late planting date (25<sup>th</sup> Jul.) of soybean. Eissa (2018) found that the early sown plants (5<sup>th</sup> May) in the first season were more preferable to infestation by *N. viridula* and late sowing date (30<sup>th</sup> May) in second season.

With regard to, these results agree with obtaining data by Samhan (2003) who found *C. undecimpunctata*; *C. carnea*; the *P. alfieri* and unidentified species of true spiders in soybean fields during 2000, 2001 and 2002 growing seasons. El-Sarand (2005) in Egypt, found three predator species; *P. alfieri*, *C. undecimpunctata* and *C. carnea* in soybean fields during 1999 and 2000 seasons. *C. carnea* was the most dominant during the two study seasons, El-Sarand (2013) he recorded predator in soybean field; *C. carnea*, *C. undecimpunctata*, *P. alfieri* and *Scymnus* spp. during 2009 and 2010 seasons. Also, *C. carnea* was the most dominant species. Yadav *et al.* (2015) found that the predatory beetles began to appear on soybean field during 2010 season in the 2<sup>nd</sup> week of August and its population slightly increased and peaked at 63 days after sowing. The maximum population of predatory observed in 2<sup>nd</sup> week of July. Also, the

spiders appeared at 35 days after sowing, in the second week of August and its population slightly increased up to 49 days after sowing, thereafter a gradual reduction in the population was observed and finally disappeared after 77 days after sow.

However, varying the planting date of crops works as a mean of cultural control by creating asynchrony between crop phenology and the insect pests' phenology which can retard the rate of colonization (Ferro, 1987) or means that the pest fails to coincide with a critical crop growth stage (Dent, 1991). Also, such methods have a major impact if the planting dates were synchronized between farms within a region to reduce the variation in available crop stages. 2-Seasonal means of four piercing-sucking insects and common predators on soybean plants at two sowing dates during 2019 and 2020 seasons at Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate. Results analysis in Table (3) cleared that the plants of 15<sup>th</sup> May and 30<sup>th</sup> May harbored significantly higher population of whitefly and green stink bug in second season than first one, while aphid and predators induced insignificant difference between the two sowing date also, leafhoppers harbored significantly population in second sowing dates of second season, while the mean numbers of green stink bug was significantly higher between first sowing dates in both seasons.

From the mentioned results ,during two seasons that the first and second sowing dates; whitefly recorded significantly differences, while leafhoppers appeared significant differences in the second sowing date, but, green stink bug harbored significantly higher differences in first sowing date ,while aphid appeared insignificant.

In general, the results indicated that soybean plants sown in 15<sup>th</sup> May received lower population of the



considered insects. Similarly, the population of insects was higher in the second season than in the first one except whitefly. However, the differences in the insect population from season to another may be due to

the differences in the prevailing weather factors and/or the existed natural enemies. Results will aid in planning programs of integrated control of soybean insect pests.

**Table (3): Seasonal means of four piercing-sucking insects and common predators on soybean plants at two sowing dates during 2019 and 2020 seasons at Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate.**

Insect	Season	Sowing date		
		15 <sup>th</sup> May	30 <sup>th</sup> May	T-calculated
<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	2019	9.94	12.45	1.06 <sup>n.s</sup>
	2020	12.67	17.55	1.65 <sup>n.s</sup>
	T- calculated	0.82 <sup>n.s</sup>	1.47 <sup>n.s</sup>	
<i>Bemisia tabaci</i>	2019	154.92	182.33	0.66 <sup>n.s</sup>
	2020	50.85	100.09	3.18**
	T- calculated	3.03*	2.72*	
<i>Empoasca</i> spp.	2019	9.86	9.11	0.38 <sup>n.s</sup>
	2020	11.58	13.91	1.18 <sup>n.s</sup>
	T- calculated	0.97 <sup>n.s</sup>	2.23*	
<i>Nezara viridula</i>	2019	6.17	8.43	1.33 <sup>n.s</sup>
	2020	11.27	6.08	3.47**
	T- calculated	3.54**	1.35 <sup>n.s</sup>	
Predators	2019	9.23	9.38	0.16 <sup>n.s</sup>
	2020	11.11	10.38	0.55 <sup>n.s</sup>
	T- calculated	1.57 <sup>n.s</sup>	0.92 <sup>n.s</sup>	

T-tabulated =1.697 at 5% level, = 3.042 at 1% level

#### References

- Abd El-Aty, H.S.H. (2011):** Studies on some insect pests attacking soybean plants in Kafr El-Sheikh Region. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Tanta University.
- Abou-Attia, F.A.M. and Youssef, A. E. (2007):** Field evaluation of some soybean cultivars for infestation with soybean stem fly, *Melanagromyza sojae* (Zehntner) and leaf miner, *Liriomyza trifolii* (Burgess) at Kafr El-Sheikh region. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 32(3): 2301-2311.
- Adamu, R.S.; Dike, M.C.; Onu, I. and Ogunlana, M.O. (1999):** Influence of planting date and spacing on the infestation and damage to two soybean varieties by insect pest at Samaru – Zaria. Nigrian Journal of Entomology, 16: 32-41.
- Dent, C.F.D. (1991):** Insect pest management .C.A.B. International. pp 384.
- Eissa, G.M.F. (2018):** Studies on certain piercing-sucking insects infesting soybean plants at Kafr El-Shiekh governorate. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Tanta University.
- El-Sarand, E.A. (2005):** Ecological and biological studies on some leafhoppers (Homoptera: Cicadellidae). M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Tanta University.
- El-Sarand, E.A. (2013):** Integrated management of certain piercing-sucking insects on soybean and faba bean plants at Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Tanta University.
- Ferro, D.N.(1987):** Insect pest outbreaks in agroecosystems .in Barbosa ,p .and Schultz, J.C. (eds ),insect outbreaks.

- Academic Pres, San Diego and London ,pp.195-215.
- Gore, J.; Abel, C.A.; Adamczyk, J.J. and Snodgrass, G. (2006):** Influence of soybean planting date and maturity group on stink bug (Heteropetra: Pentatomidae) populations. *Environ. Entom.*, 35 (2): 531-536.
- Iqbal, J.; Shahid, M. ; Akhtar, N. and Hassan, M. (2008):** Diagnosis of important insect pests of soybean in Peshawar, Pakistan. *J. Biol. Sc.*, 3(6): 1017-1019.
- Kalyan, R.K. and Ameta, O.P. (2017):** Effect of sowing time and varieties on incidence of insect pests of soybean. *J. Entom. and Zool. Studies*, 5(2): 790-794.
- Karungi, J.; Adipala, E. ; Ogenga-Latigo, M.W.; Kyamanywa, S. and Oyobo, N. (1999):** Pest management in cowpea. Part 1. influence of planting time and plant density on cowpea field pests infestation in eastern Uganda. *Crop Protection*, 19: 231-236.
- Kogan, M. and Herzog, D.C. (1980):** Sampling methods in soybean. *Entom.*, Springer pVerlag, New York, pp. 507.
- Mensah, C.; Fonzo, C.D.; Nelson, R.L. and Wong, D. (2005):** Resistance to soybean aphid in early maturing soybean germplasm. *Crop Sci.*, 45:2228-2233.
- Mousa, H.R.T. (2004):** Ecological and biological studies on certain insect pests of legumes. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Sci., Ain Shams University.
- Netam, H.K.; Gupta, R . and Soni, Sh. (2013):** Seasonal incidence of insect pests and their biocontrol agents on soybean. *J. Agric. and Veter. Sci.* 2(2): 07-11.
- Oerke, E.C. and Dehne, H.W. (2004):** Safeguarding production – losses in major crops and the role of crop protection. *Crop Prot.*, 23: 275-285.
- Omoloye, A.A.; Joda, A.O. and Tobih, F.O. (2015):** Effects of planting dates and intra-row spacing on field infestation and damage by hemiptera sucking bugs on soybean. *Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences*, 4 (1): 134-137.
- Rizk, G.A.; Karaman, G.A.; Abdel-Naby, A.A.; Moftah, E.A. and Abd El-Alim, A.A. (1990a):** Interrelation between the fluctuation in the population of predators and parasites and the fluctuation in the population density of sucking pests associated with soybean plants in Minia Region. *Assiut J. Agric. Sci.*, 21(3): 105-117.
- Rizk, G.A.; Moftah, E. F.; Karaman, G. A. and Abdel-Naby, A. A. (1990b):** Effectiveness of different planting dates on the population density of some sucking pests attacking soybean plants in Minia Region. *Assiut J. Agric. Sci.*, 21(3): 141-151 in Ibadan, South west Nigeria. *J. Agric. and Environ. Sci.*, 4(1): 134-137.
- Samhan, H.M.1. (2003):** The population fluctuations of certain predaceous arthropods inhabiting three field crops in Minia. *Assiut J. Agric. Sci.*, 34 (2): 183-191.
- Yadav, P.; Banerjee, S.; Gupta, M. P. and Yadav, V. K. (2015):** Effect of Weather Factors on Seasonal Incidence of Insect-Pests of Soybean. *J. Multidisciplinary Advance Res.*, 4 (1):46 –51.