

### Egyptian Journal of Plant

**Protection Research Institute** 

www.ejppri.eg.net



Effect of *Bacillus aryabhattai* B8W22 and two conventional insecticides on *Scrobipalpa ocellatella* (Lepidoptera: Gelechidae) larvae and their natural enemy populations

Ghada, M. Ramadan and Heba, S. Abd El-Aty

Plant Protection Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

Abstract

ARTICLE INFO
Article History
Received: 31/10 /2022
Accepted:23/12 /2022

#### Keywords

Efficacy, Bacillus aryabhattai, Scrobipalpa ocellatella, natural enemies, sugar beet and productivity.

The present study was undertaken at Sakha Agricultural Research Station, Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate throughout 2020/2021 and 2021/2022 to compare the efficiency of the new bacterial strain Bacillus aryabhattai B8W22 and conventional insecticides (Dora 48% Ec and goldbein 90% Sp.) in controlling Scrobipalpa ocellatella (Boyd.) (Lepidoptera: Gelechidae) larvae with particular emphasis on their side effect on natural enemies. Results clarified that the overall mean of reductions in S. ocellatella larvae by B. aryabhattai suspension was 80.17 and 71.91%, by dora were 98.19 and 90.72%, and by goldbein were 98.10 and 89.97% in the first and second seasons, respectively. The mean reductions in insect predators were 22.32 and 23.91% by B. aryabhattai; 98.17 and 100% by dora and 99.20 and 100% by goldbein, respectively, whereas in the case of insect parasitoids, these values were 56.48 and 47.94% by *B. arvabhattai*; 100 and 100% by dora and 100 and 100% by goldenbein. This study therefore, proved that the bacterial strain was very efficacious in reducing the densities of S. ocellatella larvae with less impact on natural enemies of S. ocellatella larvae than both conventional insecticides used. Thus, B. aryabhattai can be used as an effective biocontrol method in Integrated Pest Management programs (IPM) of S. ocellatella.

### Introduction

The beet moth, *Scrobipalpa ocellatella* (Boyd.) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) is a serious insect pest of sugar beet crop and negatively reduces crop foliage, roots and amount of extracted yield of sugar (%) (El-Khouly *et al.*, 2011). Female lays 40-70 (Maximum of 200) eggs on the host leaves. The hatching larvae bore into the leaf midrib, petiole or root to feed. This feeding activity forms irregular mines that may be covered with silk. After completing development, the larvae form silken cocoons in which to pupate. Leaves may roll, become distorted and blackish, and damaged plants become yellow and wilt. Heavily infested sugar beets lose up to 24% of their sugar content and the plants may further be damaged by invading pathogens (Abuldahab *et al.*, 2011). Bazazo *et al.* (2016) observed larvae of *S. ocellatella* occurred on young sugar beet plants in mid – November, and continued until June. The larva numbers gradually increased and reached a peak at harvest time. Severe infestations of this insect in sugar beet led to significant reductions in root weight and sugar content (%) with 38.20 and 52.40%, respectively.

Intensive use of conventional insecticides led to health and environmental problems and huge reductions in natural enemies (Awad et al., 2014). There are numerous studies about using natural enemies in the biological control of many sugar beet insects. Coccinellidae, Staphylinidae, Formicidae and others are some of the important families of insect predators and have been used effectively in combating insect pests (Follett et al., 2015).

However, the efficiency of natural enemies has decreased in the last few years since farmers have used wide-spectrum insecticides that have negative effects on natural enemies and the environment. With respect to insect parasitoids, Bazazo and Ibrahim (2019) recorded Diadegma oranginator Aubert as an important parasitoid of S. ocellatella larvae. The seasonal mean of parasitism ranged between 44.44 to 68.91%. Further, Bazazo and Hassan (2021) recorded D. aegyptiator as a vital parasitoid of S. ocellatella Boyd larvae. The seasonal mean of parasitism ranged between 24.52 and 31.03%. These parasitoid species were identified for the first time in Egypt.

In addition to insect predators and parasitoids, microbial agents are one of the ways to control this pest. Microbial pathogens of insects are increasingly being considered environmentally friendly alternatives to conventional insecticides. Many insect pathogens such as Bacillus can be massproduced, formulated, and applied against pest populations in a similar manner to chemical insecticides (Bhattarai et al., 2016).

One of the pathogens that have been successfully used as *B*. *thuringiensis* (Ramanujam *et al.*, 2014). Bacillus thuringiensis Kurstaki therefore is an important insect pathogen that causes mortality through its toxic action against insect species (Tikar et al., 2008). Jisha et al. (2013) proved that *B. thuringiensis* produces crystalline proteins during its growth stationary phase which are lethal to lepidopterous, coleopterous and dipterous insects. Moreover, В. thuringiensis is pathogenic to a wide range of insect and nematode species (Bazazo et al., 2015 and Sheppard et al., 2013).

B. thuringiensis Bt407 is an important microbial strain for controlling *Pegomyia mixta* Vill. and S. ocellatella, in Egyptian sugar beet fields. (Bazazo et al., 2016). Bacillus aryabhattai Shivaji was reported to enhance plant growth by producing phytohormones (Park et al., 2017). Further, Bazazo et al. (2019) isolated and identified B. aryabhattai B8W22 from Cassida vittata larvae for the first time in Egypt by the help of GATC Company, Germany. The mortality of larvae ranged between 20.00 to 45.00% in a laboratory test after 10 days with suspension of this strain.

For an effective IPM program for this insect pest, it is necessary to find biocontrol agents that can work together without negative effects. Therefore, the current study was designed to evaluate the new strain, B. arvabhattai **B8W22** and two conventional insecticides against S. ocellatella larvae and their natural enemies' populations. Moreover, estimating the productivity of the sugar beet crop.

### Materials and methods

### 1. Effect of certain insecticides on *Scrobipalpa ocellatella* and its natural enemies:

This trial was done at Sakha Agricultural Research Station, Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate. Sahr sugar beet cultivar was planted on 20<sup>th</sup> of October, 2020 and 25<sup>th</sup> October, 2021 during the respectively. two seasons, Three compounds (Table 1) were used, each one was replicated four times  $(3 \times 4 = 12)$ plots), each plot measured 42  $m^2$ , in addition to four plots were used as (Check). А completely control Randomized Block Design (CRBD) was designed. Reduction in larvae and natural enemies was calculated by Henderson and Tilton (1955). A knap sack sprayer (20 L. volume) was used in applying insecticides. There is space area of 5  $m^2$  between each one of replicate to avoid insecticide drifting. The numbers of insect predators [Coccinella ssp. (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) + Paederus alfierii Koch. (Coleoptera, Staphylinidae)], and parasitoids [ *Diadegma oringinator* Aubert + Diadegma aegyptiator Shaumer (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae)] were counted using sweep net method (50 sweeps per

sample) every date of inspection. Then, the catch was placed into a paper bag in the field, and transferred to the laboratory. After that, a piece of cotton saturated with chloroform was inserted into the bag for 30 minutes to anaesthetize the confined insects. Then, the bag was opened and the catch was dropped onto a petri dish (9 cm) with 70% ethyl alcohol and some drops of glycerin. The insects were inspected using a stereo microscope. The samples were identified at Plant Protection Research Institute, Egypt. The numbers of S. ocellatella larvae were counted by the visual examination method. 40 plants were investigated/ treatment before spraying and after three, seven and ten days of spraying. The reduction in insects was calculated by Henderson and Tilton (1955). The date of spraying was 20<sup>th</sup> of March during the two seasons

### Henderson and Tilton formula

Reduction (%) =  $\left(\frac{\text{No.in check before}}{\text{No.in check after}} \times \frac{\text{No.in treated after}x}{\text{No.in treated before}L}\right) \times 100$ 

Insecticid	es	Category	Usage rate/ 8 liter
Common name	Trade name		water
Bacillus aryabhattai	-	Aternative	10 <sup>8</sup> cfu/ ml
B8w22			
Chorpyrifos	Dora 48% EC	Conventional	$42 \text{ cm}^3$
Methomyl	Goldbein 90% SP	Conventional	13 m.

 Table (1): Microbial and chemical insecticides applied against Scrobipalpa ocellatella larvae.

## 2. Assessment of root and sugar vield:

The roots of treated plots which sprayed with previous insecticides and check ones were weighed after harvest to estimate the root yield and sugar yield (%) per faddan. Date of harvest was 20<sup>th</sup> May and 25<sup>th</sup> May for the two seasons, respectively. Concerning, sugar percent (%) was determined by sucrometer device according to AOAC (1990), at Sugar Crops Research Department, Sakha Agriculture Research Station.

3. Statistical analysis:

The statistical analysis was conducted using one-way ANOVA at probability of 5% and means separated Duncan Multiple Range test (Duncan, 1955).

### **Results and discussion**

# **1.** Effect of certain compounds on the larval population *Scrobipalpa ocellatella* :

Results in Tables (2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7) showed the mean reduction in the density of *S. ocellatella* larvae caused by *B. aryabhattai* B8W22 was 80.17 and 71.91 in seasons 1 and 2, respectively. While applications of the conventional insecticides resulted in 98.19 and 90.72% mean larval density reduction in season 1 and 2, respectively, for dora; and 98.10 and 89.97% mean larval density reduction in season 1 and 2, respectively for goldbein.

On the other hand, applications of the bacterium reduced the densities of insect predators by 22.32 and 23.91% and the densities of insect parasitoids by 56.48 and 47.94% in the two seasons, Concerning respectively. the conventional insecticides, in the first season, the density of insect predators in plots treated with these insecticides was reduced by 99.39% for dora and 99.20% for goldbein. In the second season, the reduction in the population of insect predators was 100% for both the dora and goldbein treated plots. No insect parasitoids were recovered from the plots treated with conventional

insecticides suggesting that the parasitoids suffered 100% mortality in those plots.

According to larvae reduction percentages (Tables 2 and 5), there were significant differences among the means of the applied treatments. The reduction overall means of S. ocellatella larvae due to B. aryabhattai suspension were close to dora and goldbein insecticides, respectively in the present study. Goldbein eradicated all the larvae after 7 days; however, dora killed all the larvae after 10 days. As indicated in Tables (2 and 5); although the conventional insecticides are strong and faster, the reduction percentage of the tested microbial insecticides increased over time and reached a comparable level with the conventional ones in both seasons.

 Table (2): Reduction in Scrobipalpa ocellatella numbers by different compounds during 2020/2021 season.

Insecticide	Before		After spray/ day						
	spray	3		7	7	10	mean of		
	Mean	Mean	Red.	Mean	Red.	Means*	Red.	reduction	
Bacillus aryabhattai	10.00	5.25 a	58.21	2.25 a	85.84	0.75 a	96.47	80.17 a	
B8w22									
Dora	10.25	0.50 b	96.11	0.25 b	98.46	0.00 b	100	98.19 b	
Goldbein	10.50	0.75 b	94.31	0.00 b	100	0.00 b	100	98.10 b	
Control	9.75	12.25	-	15.50	-	20.75	-	-	

\*Means followed by different letters are significantly different at level 5% of probability. Table (3): Reduction in insect predator numbers by different compounds during 2020/ 2021 season.

Insecticide	Before			Overall				
	spray	3	3	7		10		mean of
	Mean	М.	Red.	M. Red.		M.*	Red.	reduction
Bacillus aryabhattai	7.50	7.00 a	15.15	7.00 a	24.32	7.25 a	27.50	22.32 a
B8w22								
Dora	7.75	0.00 b	100	0.00 b	100	0.25 b	98.17	99.39 b
Goldbein	7.50	0.00 b	100	0.25 b	97.61	0.00 b	100	99.20 b
Control	7.50	8.25	-	9.25	-	10.00	-	-

\*Means followed by different letters are significantly different at level 5% of probability. Table (4): Reduction in insect parasitoids populations by different compounds during 2020/2021 season.

Insecticide	Before		After spray/ day							
	spray	3	5	7		1	0	mean of		
	Mean	М.	Red.	М.	Red.	M.*	Red.	reduction		
Bacillus aryabhattai	2.75	2.00 a	34.54	1.50 a	55.37	0.75 a	79.54	56.48 a		
B8w22										
Dora	2.50	0.00 b	100	0.00 b	100	0.00 b	100	100 b		
Goldbein	2.50	0.00 b	100	0.00 b	100	0.00 b	100	100 b		
Control	2.25	2.50	-	2.75	-	3.00	-	-		

\*Means followed by different letters are significantly different at level 5% of probability.

2021/ 2022 season.	Table (5): Reduction in Scrol	palpa ocellatella larval populations	by different compounds during
	2021/ 2022 season.		

Insecticide	Before		After spray/ day						
	spray	3	;	7		10		mean of	
	Mean	М.	Red.	M. Red.		M.*	Red.	reduction	
Bacillus aryabhattai	12.75	8.25 a	38.88	3.00 a	83.33	1.50 a	93.54	71.91 a	
B8w22									
Dora	12.50	2.50	81.15	1.00 b	94.33	0.75 b	96.70	90.72 b	
		b							
Goldbein	12.50	2.75	79.22	1.25 b	92.91	0.50 b	97.80	89.97 b	
		b							
Control	12.75	13.50	-	18.00	-	23.25	-	-	

\*Means followed by different letters are significantly different at level 5% of probability. Table (6): Reduction in insect predator numbers by different compounds 2021/2022 season.

Insecticide	Before		After spray/ day						
	spray	3	;	7		10		mean of	
	Mean	М.	Red.	М.	M. Red.		Red.	reduction	
Bacillus aryabhattai	6.25	6.00 a	16.38	6.25 a	22.85	6.25	32.50	23.91 a	
B8w22						а			
Dora	6.50	0.00 b	100	0.00 b	100	0.00	100	100 b	
						b			
Goldbein	6.50	0.00 b	100	0.00 b	100	0.00	100	100 b	
						b			
Control	6.75	7.75	-	8.75	-	10.00	-	-	

\*Means followed by different letters are significantly different at level 5% of probability. Table (7): Reduction in insect parasitoids populations by different compounds during 2021/2022 season.

Insecticide	Before		After spray/ day						
	spray	3	3	7	1	10	mean of		
	Mean	М.	Red.	М.	Red.	M.*	Red.	reduction	
Bacillus aryabhattai	3.25	2.50 a	28.20	2.00 a	42.56	1.00 a	73.07	47.94 a	
B8w22									
Dora	3.25	0.00 b	100	0.00 b	100	0.00 b	100	100 b	
Goldbein	3.50	0.00 b	100	0.00 b	100	0.00 b	100	100 b	
Control	3.50	3.75	-	3.75	-	4.00	-	-	

\*Means followed by different letters are significantly different at level

B. aryabhattai is toxic to Cassida vittata larvae (Bazazo et al., 2019), to Brooks dentate (Blibech et al., 2012), to Spodoptera littoralis (Sakr, 2017), and to Halymorpha halys (Tozlu et al., 2019). Bacillus species are some of the most important pathogens used in the management of insects. The species include B. thuringiensis, B. brevis, B. cereus, B. circulans, B. megaterium, B. subtilis (Mazrou et al., 2020), and B. aryabhattai (Xu and Cote, 2003 and Rooney et al., 2009). Insect pathogens are one of the most effective factors in controlling insect pests invading plant Worldwide, crops. various biopesticides are widely used in products. greenhouse ornamental plants, stocked products, forest

products, garden products, vegetables and fruits as biological pest control (Rishad et al., 2017).

As shown in this study, the efficacy of the microbial insecticide increased over time while maintaining natural enemies within the treated area. By, maintaining the natural enemies in an area, plant health may be improved by providing some resistance of the area to invading pests because of the presence of the natural enemies. Biological control using Bacillus species isolates promotes plant growth and enhances plant resistance (Park et al., 2017 and Mazrou et al., 2020) against invading pests (Rishad et al., 2017) where Bacillus strains produced different antimicrobial products

(Aunpad and Na-Bangchang, 2007; Song *et al.*, 2012 and Che *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, it has antimicrobial effects against soil- borne pathogens fungi (Ahmed and Omar, 2014; Minghui *et al.*, 2015 and Rooney *et al.*, 2009).

2. Impact of previous insecticide groups against Scrobipalpa ocellatella on root and sugar yield of sugar beet: Table (8) showed that in 2020/ 2021 season root yield of sugar beet in plots treated with insecticides compared with the check ones. The values of yield were 35.714, 35.833 and 35.904 tons/ fad. for Bacillus strain, dora and goldbein, respectively, while 32.619 tons/ fad. in check. Concerning, sugar vield the values were 6.482, 6.507 and 5.254 tons/ fad. for the previous insecticides, respectively, as compared with 5.254 tons/ fad. in the untreated plots.

In 2021/ 2022 season, indicate that root yield was 35.666, 35.738 and

35.690 tons/ fad. for the above mentioned insecticides, respectively, while 30.00 tons/ fad. in check plots. Also, the corresponding values of sugar yield were 6.419, 6.436 and 6.424 tons sugar/ fad. in check. Statistical analysis proved significant differences among the treated plots and untreated ones. Whereas, insignificant differences between the three insecticides in root yield and sugar yield during the two seasons.

The results of this investigation indicated that *B. aryabhattai* B8w22 reduces larval densities of *S. ocellatella*, while not significantly reducing densities of insect predators + parasitoids, as seen in plots treated with conventional insecticides, in addition, to yield of roots and sugar of sugar beet for conventional insecticides are similar to *B. aryabhattai* B8w22.

Table (8): Root and sugar	yield and sucrose (%) in	n treated and untreated p	lots, 2020/2021and 2021/
2022 seasons.			

Treatment		oot weight (168m <sup>2</sup> )	Root yield (Ton / Fed.) *			rose 6)*	Sugar yield (Ton / / Fed.) *		
	2020/ 2021	2021/ 2022	2020/ 2021	2021/ 2022	2020/ 2021	2021/ 2022	2020/ 2021	2021/ 2022	
Bacillus aryabhattai B8w22	1500 a	1498 a	35.714 a	35.666 a	18.15 a	18.00 a	6.482 a	6.419 a	
Dora	1505 a	1501 a	35.833 a	35.738 a	18.16 a	18.01 a	6.507 a	6.436 a	
Goldbein	1508 a	1499 a	35.904 a	35.690 a	18.20 a	18.00 a	6.534 a	6.424 a	
Control	1370 b	1260 b	32.619 b	30.00 b	16.11	16.61	5.254 b	4.983 b	

\*Means followed by different letters are significantly differences at level 5% of probability. Acknowledgments domestica. J. Bacteriol

The authors would like to express their deep gratitude and sincere appreciation to prof. Dr. Kamal Bazazo, Sugar Crops Research Institute for giving us a suspension of this bacteria. Also, thanks to Plant Pathology Research Institute (Prof. Dr. M. Saleh) to prepare this strain.

### References

### Abuldahab, F.; Abozindah, A. and Al-Haiqi, N. (2011): Impact of *B. thuringiensis* exotoxin to some biochemical aspects of *Musa*

*domestica.* J. Bacteriol. Res., 3: 92-100.

- Ahmed, A.I.S. and Omar, A. M. (2014): Antagonistic and inhibitory effect of some plant rhizo-bacteria against different *Fusarium* isolates on *Salvia officinalis*. Amer Eurasian J. Agricul. Environ. Sci., 14: 1437-1446.
- AOAC (1990): Official Methods of Analysis. 15<sup>th</sup> Ed. Washington, DC, USA.

- Aunpad, R. and Na-Bangchang, K. (2007): Pumilicin 4, a novel bacteriocin with anti-MRSA and anti-VRE activity produced by newly isolated bacteria *Bacillus pumilus* strain WAPB4. Curr. Microbiol, 55: 308-313.
- Awad, H.; El-Naggar, A.; El-Bassouny, M. and Tadros, H. (2014): Efficiency of certain evaluated IGRs and conventional insecticides on the incidence of common lepidopterous insect pests of cotton plants. Alex. Sci. Exchange J., 35(2): 87-94.
- Bazazo, K. and Hassan, H. (2021): *Diadegma aegyptiator* (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae): New record parasitoid on the rib miner, *S. ocellatella* in Egyptian sugar beet fields. J. of Plant Protection and Pathology, 12 (3): 229-231.
- Bazazo, K. and Ibrahim, A. (2019): New record of *Diadegma oranginator* as a parasitoid of *S. ocellatella* in Egyptian sugar beet fields. Egypt. J. Exp. Biol., (2001), 15 (2): 289-294.
- Bazazo, K.; Besheit, R. and Mashaal,
  R. (2016): Controlling the beet moth, *S. ocellatella* by using a new strain of entomopathogenic bacteria in sugar beet fields. Egypt. J. Plant Pro. Res., 4: 77-93.
- Bazazo, K.; Ekram, A. and El-Sheikh, M. (2019): New record of entomopathogenic bacteria, *Bacillus aryabhattai* strain B8W22, isolated from *Cassida vittata* Vill and its pathogenicity against this insect in Egyptian sugar beet fields. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 46: 2247-2254.
- Bazazo, K.; Ibrahim, A. and El-shafey,
  R. (2015): A new strain of entomopathogenic bacteria, *B. thuringiensis* BT407 isolated from diseased *P. mixta* larvae and pathogen Virulence against the

insect pest. Egypt. J. Plant Pro. Res., 3:20-28.

- Bhattarai, S. S.; Bishwokarma, S. K.; Gurung, S.; Dhami, P. and Bishwokarma, Y. (2016): Efficacy of entomopathogens for control of blue pumpkin beetle (*Aulacophora nigripennis* Motschulsky, 1857) in sponge gourd (*Luffa cylindrica*) under laboratory condition at Paklihawa, Nepal. Global J. Biol. Agricul. Health Sci., 5: 102-105.
- Blibech, I.; Ksantini, M.; Chajeb, I.; Jlassi, B.; Rhouma, A.; Jaoua, S. and Aifa, S. (2012): Isolation of entomopathogenic *Bacillus* from a biodynamic olive farm and their pathogeneicity to lepidopteran and coleopteran insect pests. Crop Protec., 31: 72-77.
- Che, J.; Liu, B.; Ruan, C.; Tang, J. and Huang, D. (2015): Biocontrol of *Lasiodiplodia* theobromae, which causes black spot disease of harvested wax apple fruit, using a strain of *Brevibacillus* brevis FJAT-08009-GLX. Crop Protec., 67: 178-183.
- **Duncan, D. (1955):** Multiple Range and Multiple F- Test. Biometrics, 1: 1-17.
- El-Khouly, M.; Saleh, H.; Sabbagh, I. and Mahmoud, H. (2011): Population dynamics of the beet moth, *S. ocellatella* in relation to certain associated predators in sugar beet fields in Egypt. Ann. Agricul. Sci., 49: 185-190.
- Follett. **P.**; Neumann. **G.**; Hollingsworth, R.; Swedman, and Sibuca, R. A. (2015): Release and establishment of Encarsia diaspidicola (Hymenoptera: Aphelididae) against peach scale white (Hemiptera: Diaspididae) in papaya. Proce. Hawaiian Entomol. Soc., 47: 51-45.
- Henderson, G. and Tilton, G. (1955): Test with acaricides against the

brown wheat mite. J. Econ. Entomol., 48: 157-161.

- Jisha, V.; Smitha, R. and Benjamin, S. (2013): An overview on the crystal toxins from *B. thuringiensis*. Advan. Microbiol., 3: 462-470.
- Mazrou, Y.S.A.; Makhlouf, A. H.; Hassan, M. M. and Baazeem, A. (2020): Microbial induction of resistance in tomato against root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne javanica* with biocontrol agents. J. Environ. Biol., 41: 1045-1060.
- Minghui, S. U.; Xueqin, H. U.; Donghua, G. U.; Hongbin, Z. and Xiaolong, C. (2015): Purification and characterization of chitinase from the fermentation broth of *Brevibacillus brevis* FM4B. Food Sci., 36: 176-179.
- Park, Y. G.; Mun, B. G.; Kang, S. M.; Hussain, A.; Shahzad, R. and Seo, C. W. (2017): *Bacillus aryabhattai* SRB02 tolerates oxidative and nitrosative stress and promotes the growth of soybean by modulating the production of phytohormones. PLoS ONE 12: e0173203.
- Ramanujam, B.; Ramanujam, G. and Yandigeri, M. (2014): Management of insect pests by microorganisms. Pro. Indian Nat. Sci. Acad., 80: 455-471.
- Rishad, K. **S.**: Rebello, **S.**; Shabanamol, P. S. and Jisha, (2017): Biocontrol **M. S.** potential of Halotolerant bacterial chitinase from high yielding novel*Bacillus* pumilusMCB-7 autochthonous to mangrove ecosystem. Pest Biochem. Physiol., 137: 36-41.
- Rooney, A. P.; Price, N. P. J.; Ehrhardt, C.; Swezey, J. L. and Bannan, J. D. (2009): Phylogeny and molecular

taxonomy of the *Bacillus subtilis* species complex and description of *Bacillus subtilis* subsp. *inaquosorum* subsp. nov. Inter J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol, 59: 2429-2436.

- Sakr, H. H. (2017): Insecticidal activity of *Brevibacillus brevis* (Paenibacillaceae) bacterial culture filtrate on *Spodoptera littoralis* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae. Egypt J. Experim. Biol., 13: 331-342.
- Sheppard, A.; Poehlein, A. and Schlenburg, H. (2013): Complete genome sequence of *B. thuringiensis* 407 cry. Genome Announ., 1: 1-2.
- Song, Z.; Liu, Q.; Guo, H.; Ju, R.; Zhao, Y.; Li, X. and Liu, X. (2012): Tostadin, a novel antibacterial peptide from an antagonistic microorganism *Brevibacillus brevis* XDH. Biores Technol., 111: 504-506.
- Tikar, S.; Mendki, M. and Shri, P. (2008): Susceptibility of immature stages of *Aedes aegypti* vector of Denugue and Chikingunya to insecticides from India. Parasitol. Res., 120: 907-913.
- Tozlu, E.; Saruhan, I.; Tozlu, G.; Kotan, R.; Dadasoglu, F. and Tekiner, N. (2019): Potentials of some entomopathogens against the brown marmorated sting bug, *Halyomorpha halys* (Stal, 1855) (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae). Egypt J. Biol. Pest. Cont., 29: 2-8.
- Xu, D.; and Cote, J. C. (2003): Phylogenetic relationships between Bacillus species and related genera inferred from comparison of 3'end 16S rDNA and 5' end 16S-23S its nucleotide sequences. Inter J. Sys. Evol. Microbiol, 53: 695-704.