

Egyptian Journal of Plant Protection Research Institute www.ejppri.eg.net



Effect of rearing method and mating time on honeybee queen performance

Amal, M. Elbana¹; Amany, S. Abou-lila¹; Ali, M. A.² and Elbassiouny, A. M.² ¹Plant Protection Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Dokki, Giza, Egypt. ²Plant Protec. Dep., Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams University.

| ARTICLE INFO | |
|--------------------|-------|
| Article History | |
| Received: 1/10 /20 | 23 |
| Accepted: 23/11/2 | 023 |
| Keywords | |
| Honeybee, | Apis |
| mellifera, | queen |
| rearing, mating | and |

queen cells.

Abstract

The study examined the characteristics of queen bees raised using the Dolittle method and natural queen cells. The average of number sealed brood cells in the first and second years reached in the grafted queen rearing and mated in the spring season during the four seasons (Spring 1st, Summer, Autumn, Winter, and Spring 2nd), during 2021 and 2022 year was 2888.6, 3199.5, 1721.5, 1077.2 and 2521.3 cells, and either in naturally reared queen was 2179.7, 2616.8, 1573.8, 903.3, and 2146.8 cells respectively. Also, queen rearing and mating in the autumn season (Autumn, Winter, and Spring 2nd). The average of number sealed cells reached in the first and second years by grafted was 1309.8, 735.7, and 1214.8 cells Consecutively, and either in naturally reared queen was 1125.9, 716.6 and 1122.1 cells. The average body weight (mg) of virgin queens reared by grafted queens in the spring and autumn seasons was 202.9, and 194.2 mg, respectively, and in the emergence (naturally) method it was 190.1, and 175.9 mg, respectively.

Introduction

The most important individual in a honeybee colony is the queen. She is responsible for keeping the hive alive by laying eggs and working to cohesion between individuals. For this, the benefit of beekeepers from a colony depends largely on the quality of the queen. Various factors are affecting the efficiency of queen quality, from which rearing methods and mating time. One of the natural methods of queen rearing is to rear queen bees in the queen less colonies (Emergency case). A well-mated and well-fed queen can lay about 2000 eggs/day during the spring build-up (Root and Root, 1980). The young queen larva develops differently because it is heavily fed with royal jelly, a protein-rich secretion from the gland of the nurse bees. If not heavily fed the larva becomes a regular worker bee (Jensen, 2000). Emergency queens are generally raised in cells built out from the face of the frames. queen rearing by grafting method is a specified process for a commercial bee-keeping practice. A queen bee is vital, working individually in both commercial and noncommercial bee colonies (Kumar and Singh, 2004).

Rearing honeybee queens occurs when the colony is in the process of swarming, supersedure or when the queen has been accidentally lost or killed (Seeley, 1985). Although the rearing of queen bees can be performed in the presence of the queen in a nurse colony a higher effectiveness can achieved in queen less colonies be (Crailsheim et al., 2013). Queen bees can be reared from the end of March to September, but better quality of queens is obtained from the end of March until the end of April (Koc and Karacaoglu, 2004). On top of the variation in responses to the techniques, environmental conditions in the different seasons within the year like temperature, and relative humidity were indicated as important determining factors in the quality of rearing and mating queens (Büchler et al., 2013 and Adgaba et al., 2019).

The present work aims to throw light on the performance of queens who reared from either grafting or from emergency (Naturally). Moreover, the effect of mating season (Spring and Autumn) on colony vigor. **Materials and methods**

The present study was conducted in the apiary located at the Plant Protec. Research Institute, Beekeeping Research Dept., Dokki, Egypt, A.R.C., for two successive years (2021 and 2022). Honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) colonies headed by open mated local carnica queens were used in this study.

1. Rearing honeybee queens by grafting method:

Four free flying first hypered Carniolan honeybee colonies were used as nurse colonies to produce queens by the grafting method (Laidlaw, 1975). Where the queens and the brood combs of the tested colonies were removed (the queens were kept in the queen's bank and the brood combs were incubated in another hive); then, the adult bees were shaken between two combs full of honey and pollen with a space between them for the grafting frame. Moreover, the colonies were fed with sugar syrup (1:1) during the rearing period. After nearly 2 hrs. of preparing, the bees in the nurse colony became crowded between the two combs and felt queen less. One grafting frame provided with 45 queen cups was grafted with one day old worker larvae inserted in the space between the two combs of the queen less nurse colony. Sugar syrup (50%) was offered daily to each colony during the rearing period. On the tenth day of grafting, the sealed queen cells were separated and kept under cages in the queen bank until emergence.

2. Rearing honeybee queens by naturally method (Emergency case):

Four free flying first hypered Carniolan honeybee colonies were used to obtain naturally (Emergency) queen cells. In this case, the queens were removed from the colonies, then after the workers reconstructed several worker cells into queen cells, normally on comb areas containing open brood, around larvae younger than three days. The larvae are fed with royal jelly throughout the whole larval period. After the expected day from dequeening, the queen cells were separated and kept under cages in the queen bank until emergence. Four honeybee colonies from each rearing method in each season were used for queen open mating. Where, the queen was inserted on three combs, two of them containing honey and pollen, they were situated beside the hive body, and the third one was empty for queen egg laying. The surplus of the empty comb was provided ad libtium for each colony.

3. Number of sealed brood cells:

The number of sealed brood cells in colonies with queens at all treatments was calculated every 12 days, according to the following formulas:

Area of sealed brood = $\pi x \frac{1}{2} R1 x \frac{1}{2} R2$.

The number of sealed brood cells = area of sealed brood x 3.875 (number of cells/1cm²⁰).

4. Queens biometrics:

Ten honeybee queens from each rearing method in each season (Spring-Autumn) were weighed and then dissected to obtain the ovary to measure its length and width.

5. Environmental factors:

The record of Temperature and relative humidity (%) was obtained from the Egyptian Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation, Agricultural Research Centre, Central Lab. for Agricultural Climate.

6. Statistical analysis:

Descriptive, ANOVA, and LSD test (At 0.05) analyses were calculated by the SAS computer program.

Results and discussion

Brood area of the colony:

Data as shown in Table (1) Sealed worker brood cells reached 2888.6; 2179.7 and 3199.5, 2616.8 and 1721.5,1573.8 and 1077.2, 903.3 and 2521.3, 2146.8 cells by grafting and emergency (Naturally). respectively. The results showed an increase in the number of Sealed worker broods in the Spring and Summer seasons compared to Autumn and Winter seasons for both grafting and emergency during 2021 and 2022, years. The results in Table (2) showed that in the second Spring in the mated Spring season is better than the Spring resulting from mated Autumn in both grafting and emergency rearing queen.

Data as shown in Table (3) is a summary of the previous results in Tables (1 and 2) and Figure (1) explains the comparison between sealed worker brood cells produced from queens grafting or emergency method and mated in the Spring and Autumn seasons. Table (4) shows the weather data of Giza, Dokki during the experimental period through the years 2021-2022, and Figure (2) explains the effect of the higher Temperature degree ($^{\circ}$ C) on the number of sealed worker brood cells increased during the Spring and Summer seasons. Data recorded in Table (5) that the Body Weight (mg) of virgin queens reared by grafting in the Spring and Autumn seasons is heavier than the virgin queens reared by emergency (Natural) method it appears that good virgin queens rearing by grafting or naturally in the Spring season are heavier than the virgin queen rearing in the Autumn season.

Tables (6 and 7) record ovary length and width for the right and left of virgin queens rearing by grafting or naturally rearing in the spring season. There is no distinct difference between them. Tables (8 and 9) record ovary length and width for the right and left of virgin queens rearing by grafting or naturally rearing in the Autumn season. There is no distinct difference between them. Table (10) indicates that the statistical analysis of ovary length and width (mm) of virgin queens reared by grafting or emergency (Naturally) method in the Spring and Autumn seasons explains that there is no significant difference between them.

Finally, this study was in agreement with the results of the previous research, which found that queen bees produced by grafting methods are better than queen bees rearing emergence (Naturally) methods It also concluded that grafted queen colonies will provide a higher capacity of worker brood cells production ability over the natural queen rearing among the study (Laidlaw, 1975; El Din,1999; Kumar, 2018 and Karthik Raja *et al.*, 2022).

| | | Grafting | | | Naturally | | | |
|--------|-------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Season | Month | 1 st | 2^{nd} | Mean | 1 st | 2^{nd} | Mean | |
| Spring | March | 1855.5± 178.72 | 2114.6± 146.23 | 1985.1 ± 129.93 | 1650.6 ± 107.25 | 1975.3± 181.96 | 1812.9 ± 162.82 | |
| | April | 3295.5± 149.45 | 2994.3± 136.78 | 3144.9 ± 151.04 | 2295.5± 176.37 | 1998.3± 190.13 | 2146.9 ± 149.04 | |
| | May | 3372.5 ± 69.82 | 3699.3 ± 529.53 | 3535.9 ± 163.88 | 2452.5± 330.41 | 2706.3± 234.44 | 2579.4 ± 127.27 | |
| | Mean | 2841.2 ± 493.91 | 2936.1 ± 458.93 | 2888.6 ± 466.20 | 2132.8± 245.64 | 2226.6± 240.20 | 2179.7 ± 222.13 | |
| Summer | Jun. | 3497.2± 50.41 | 3578.7± 179.14 | 3537.9 ± 40.86 | 2895.2 ± 64.00 | 3008.5± 215.91 | 2951.8 ± 56.81 | |
| | July | 3088.5± 109.18 | $3358.6{\pm}~70.45$ | 3223.5 ± 135.44 | 2561.1± 178.33 | 2937.1± 212.00 | 2749.1 ± 188.56 | |
| | Aug. | 2658.5± 183.97 | 3015.6± 133.60 | 2837.1 ± 179.07 | 2063.6 ± 40.59 | 2235.3± 325.54 | 2149.4 ± 86.10 | |
| | Mean | 3081.4 ± 242.42 | 3317.6 ± 164.02 | 3199.5 ± 202.89 | 2728.1± 241.88 | 2972.8± 246.98 | 2616.8 ± 241.17 | |
| Autumn | Sept. | 2198± 263.47 | 1886.6± 295.68 | 2042.3 ± 156.16 | 1990± 86.25 | 1686.6± 195.35 | 1838.3 ± 152.14 | |
| | Oct. | 1985.8± 178.35 | 1553.8± 290.38 | 1769.8 ± 216.64 | 1885.8 ± 47.31 | 1451.2 ± 90.65 | 1668.5 ± 217.94 | |
| | Nov. | 1368 ± 31.69 | $1336.6{\pm}65.96$ | 1352.3 ± 15.74 | 1302.4± 109.24 | $1126.6{\scriptstyle\pm}78.35$ | 1214.5 ± 88.15 | |
| | Mean | 1850.6 ± 249.24 | 1592.3 ± 160.12 | 1721.5 ± 200.88 | 1726.1± 214.20 | 1421.1± 162.53 | 1573.8 ± 186.41 | |
| Winter | Dec. | 1090± 56.92 | 886.6±163.35 | $988.3 \pm \\ 102$ | $841{\pm}60.12$ | $612.6{\pm}41.23$ | 726.8± 114.53 | |
| | Jan. | 951.5± 158.32 | 711.2 ± 92.97 | 831.35 ± 120.50 | 843.3± 31.91 | 599.2±144.09 | 721.25± 122.41 | |
| | Feb. | 1597.4 ± 69.35 | 1226.6± 68.96 | 1412 ± 185.95 | 1397.4± 557.07 | 1126.6± 140.68 | 1262 ± 135.80 | |
| | Mean | 1212.9 ± 196.56 | 941.5 ± 151.46 | 1077.2 ± 173.61 | 1027.2± 185.30 | 779.5 ± 173.81 | 903.3 ± 179.54 | |
| Spring | March | 2218± 206.51 | $1888.2{\pm}47.31$ | 2053.1 ± 165.39 | 2062±240.99 | 1797.8± 99.58 | 1929.9 ± 132.48 | |
| | April | 2588.8± 563.55 | 2339.8±286.06 | 2464.3 n± 124.86 | 2288.8±176.37 | 1999.4±150.68 | 2144.1 ± 145.12 | |
| | May | 3266.2± 198.35 | 2826.6± 109.68 | 3046.4 ± 220.45 | 2566.2± 347.64 | 2166.6±367.98 | 2366.4 ± 200.39 | |
| | Mean | 2691 ± 307.23 | 2351.5 ± 271.39 | 2521.3 ± 288.49 | 2305.7 ± 145.96 | 1987.9 ± 106.73 | 2146.8 ± 126.16 | |

Table (1): Sealed worker brood cells produced from queens reared by grafting or emergency (Naturally) method and mated in the spring season of 2021 and 2022.

| a | | | Grafting | | Naturally | | | |
|--------|-------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| Season | Month | 1 st | 2 nd | Mean | 1 st | 2 nd | Mean | |
| | Sept. | 1612±331.19 | 1462.2± 377.82 | 1537.1 ± 75.12 | 1584 ± 463.28 | 1362.4± 58.75 | 1473.2 ± 111.12 | |
| Autumn | Oct. | 1502.8 ± 148.41 | 1329.4± 450.23 | 1416.1 ± 86.95 | $1216.8{\pm}32.58$ | 999.4± 49.35 | 1108.1 ± 109.02 | |
| | Nov. | 1066.2 ± 64.35 | 886.6±163.35 | 976.4 ± 90.06 | $866.2{\pm}97.38$ | 726.6 ± 237.35 | 796.4 ± 70.00 | |
| | Mean | 1393.7 ± 166.93 | 1226.1 ± 174.21 | 1309.8 ± 170.54 | 1222.3 ± 207.47 | 1029.5 ± 184.36 | 1125.9 ± 195.80 | |
| | Dec. | 792 ± 68.72 | $566.4{\pm}57.48$ | 679.2 ± 113.13 | 802.6 ± 228.22 | 591.4±113.45 | 697 ± 105.91 | |
| | Jan. | 778.8 ± 75.98 | 511.2 ± 51.38 | 645 ± 134.19 | 728.8 ± 215.25 | 501.2 ± 59.70 | 615 ± 114.13 | |
| Winter | Feb. | 996.2±101.58 | 769.6±117.13 | 882.9 ± 113.63 | $956.2{\pm}28.82$ | 719.6± 93.25 | 837.9 ± 118.65 | |
| | Mean | 855.7 ± 70.45 | 615.73 ± 78.65 | 735.7 ± 74.34 | 829.2 ± 67.05 | 604.1 ± 63.43 | 716.6 ± 65.16 | |
| | March | 1153.6± 88.38 | $975.4 {\pm}41.49$ | 1064.5 ± 89.36 | $1053.6{\pm}34.65$ | $875.4{\pm}36.89$ | 964.5 ± 89.36 | |
| | April | 1391.8± 56.37 | 1161.2± 89.35 | 1276.5 ± 115.63 | 1291.8± 330.25 | 1091.2± 95.38 | 1191.5 ± 100.59 | |
| Spring | May | 1405.2± 432.58 | 1201.6± 344.68 | 1303.4 ± 102.09 | 1309.2± 348.35 | 1111.6 ± 88.37 | 1210.4 ± 99.09 | |
| | Mean | 1316.8 ± 81.82 | 1112.7 ± 69.72 | 1214.8 ± 75.63 | 1218.2 ± 82.54 | 1026.1 ± 75.64 | 1122.1 ± 79.09 | |

Table (2): Sealed worker brood cells produced from queens reared by grafting or emergency (Naturally) method and mated in the autumn season of 2021 and 2022.



Figure (1): Comparison of sealed worker brood cells produced from queens reared by grafting or emergency (Naturally) method and mated in Spring and Autumn seasons during the period extended from Autumn 2021 till Spring 2022.

| Sagar | Montha | Spr | ring | Autumn | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Season | Months | Grafting | Natural | Grafting | Natural | | | |
| | March | 1985.1±129.93 | 1812.9 ± 162.82 | | | | | |
| | April | 3144.9 ± 151.04 | 2146.9 ± 149.04 | | | | | |
| Spring | May | 3535.9 ± 163.88 | 2579.4 ± 127.27 | | | | | |
| | mean | 2888.6 ± 466.20 | 2179.7 ± 222.13 | | | | | |
| | t | N | S | | | | | |
| | Jun. | 3537.9 ± 40.86 | 2951.8 ± 56.81 | | | | | |
| | July | 3223.5 ± 135.44 | 2749.1 ± 188.56 | | | | | |
| Summer | Aug. | 2837.1 ± 179.07 | 2149.4 ± 86.10 | | | | | |
| | mean | 3199.5 ± 202.89 | 2616.8 ± 241.17 | | | | | |
| | t | NS | | | | | | |
| | Sept. | 2042.3 ± 156.16 | 1838.3 ± 152.14 | 1537.1 ± 75.12 | 1473.2 ± 111.12 | | | |
| | Oct. | 1769.8 ± 216.64 | 1668.5 ± 217.94 | 1416.1 ± 86.95 | 1108.1 ± 109.02 | | | |
| | Nov. | 1352.3 ± 15.74 | 1214.5 ± 88.15 | 976.4 ± 90.06 | 796.4 ± 70.00 | | | |
| Autumn | Mean | $1721.5^{a} \pm 00.88$ | 1573.8 ^b ±186.41 | $1309.8^{\circ} \pm 170.54$ | 1125.9° ± 195.80 | | | |
| | F | 65.501 | | | | | | |
| | LSD | | 1 | 13.8 | | | | |
| | Dec. | 988.3±102 | 726.8±114.53 | 679.2 ± 113.13 | 697 ± 105.91 | | | |
| | Jan. | 831.35 ± 120.50 | 721.25±122.41 | 645 ± 134.19 | 615 ± 114.13 | | | |
| X 7. 4 | Feb. | 1412 ±185.95 | 1262 ± 135.80 | 882.9 ± 113.63 | 837.9 ± 118.65 | | | |
| Winter | Mean | $1077.2^{a} \pm 73.61$ | $903.3b^{\rm c}\pm79.54$ | $735.7c^d \pm 74.34$ | $716.6^{d} \pm 65.16$ | | | |
| | F | | 6 | .783 | | | | |
| | LSD | | 1 | 77.6 | | | | |
| | March | 2053.1 ±165.39 | 1929.9 ± 132.48 | 1064.5 ± 89.36 | 964.5 ± 89.36 | | | |
| | April | 2464.3 n±124.8 | 2144.1 ±145.12 | 1276.5 ± 115.63 | 1191.5 ± 100.59 | | | |
| | May | 3046.4 ±220.45 | 2366.4 ±200.39 | 1303.4 ± 102.09 | 1210.4 ± 99.09 | | | |
| | Mean | $2521.3^{a} \pm 88.49$ | $2146.8^{b} \pm 126.16$ | $1214.8^{c}\pm75.63$ | $1122.1^{\circ} \pm 79.09$ | | | |
| | F | | 41 | .931 | | | | |
| LSD | | | 2 | 93.2 | | | | |
| Mean (Autumn- Spring) | | 1483.2ª | 1240.4 ^b | 881.8 ^c | 724.4° | | | |
| F | | | 17 | 7.133 | | | | |
| LS | D | | 2 | 17.6 | 1 | | | |
| General | mean | 2281.6ª | 1884.1 ^b | 1086.8° | 988.2 ° | | | |
| F | | | 10 |).226 | | | | |
| LSD | | 367.05 | | | | | | |

Table (3): Comparison of sealed worker brood cells produced from queens reared by grafting or emergency (naturally) method and mated in the spring and autumn seasons of 2021 and 2022.

| Season | Months | Air Tempe | rature [°C] | Relative | Sunshine |
|------------------------|---------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Season | wontins | Min. | Max. | Humidity (%) | Duration (Hours) |
| | Mar-21 | 9.20 | 23.28 | 62.38 | 12.02 |
| Spring 1 st | Apr-21 | 11.72 | 29.43 | 50.20 | 12.94 |
| | May-21 | 17.90 | 36.84 | 36.64 | 13.69 |
| | Jun-21 | 19.52 | 36.89 | 41.25 | 14.05 |
| Summer | Jul-21 | 22.47 | 39.01 | 41.52 | 13.84 |
| | Aug-21 | 22.96 | 39.45 | 43.13 | 13.17 |
| | Sep-21 | 20.96 | 35.75 | 51.61 | 12.30 |
| Autumn | Oct-21 | 17.77 | 31.39 | 56.52 | 11.40 |
| | Nov-21 | 15.28 | 27.76 | 61.56 | 10.63 |
| | Dec-21 | 9.02 | 19.74 | 65.54 | 10.24 |
| Winter | Jan-22 | 5.40 | 16.76 | 67.08 | 10.46 |
| | Feb-22 | 6.57 | 19.49 | 66.60 | 11.13 |
| | Mar-22 | 7.03 | 21.18 | 59.98 | 12.01 |
| Spring 2 nd | Apr-22 | 14.07 | 32.18 | 39.39 | 12.94 |
| | May-22 | 16.93 | 33.79 | 39.18 | 13.69 |

Egypt. J. Plant Prot. Res. Inst. (2023), 6 (4): 386 -396



Table (4): Weather data of Giza-Dokki during the experimental period throw the years 2021-2022.

Figure (2): Effect of weather conditions on sealed worker brood cells produced from queens reared by grafting or emergency (Naturally) method and mated in Spring and Autumn seasons.

| Don | Spr | ing | Aut | umn | | |
|------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|--|--|
| кер. | Grafting | Natural | Grafting | Natural | | |
| 1 | 207 | 196 | 188 | 171 | | |
| 2 | 193 | 181 | 198 | 180 | | |
| 3 | 206 | 201 | 192 | 169 | | |
| 4 | 208 | 185 | 189 | 182 | | |
| 5 | 194 | 179 | 193 | 184 | | |
| 6 | 201 | 193 | 205 | 182 | | |
| 7 | 191 | 199 | 192 | 173 | | |
| 8 | 218 | 174 | 202 | 177 | | |
| 9 | 197 | 191 | 189 | 165 | | |
| 10 | 214 | 202 | 194 | 176 | | |
| Mean | 202.9ª | 190.1 ^b | 194.2 ^b | 175.9° | | |
| S.D | 9.19 | 9.85 | 5.73 | 6.29 | | |
| F | 19.952(2.866) | | | | | |
| LSD | | 7.2 | .02 | | | |

 Table (5): Body Weight (mg) of virgin queens reared by grafting or emergency (Naturally) method in Spring and Autumn seasons.

 Table (6): Ovary Length and width (mm) of virgin queens reared by the grafting method in the Spring season.

| | | Ovary measurement/mm | | | | | | |
|------|-----------------|----------------------|--------|--------|-------|------|------|--|
| No. | Body Weight/ | | Length | | Width | | | |
| | mg | Right | Left | Mean | Right | Left | Mean | |
| 1 | 207 | 131 | 112 | 121.5 | 81 | 86 | 83.5 | |
| 2 | 193 | 115 | 104 | 109.5 | 90 | 79 | 84.5 | |
| 3 | 206 | 129 | 128 | 128.5 | 81 | 88 | 84.5 | |
| 4 | 208 | 101 | 133 | 117 | 99 | 84 | 91.5 | |
| 5 | 194 | 99 | 121 | 110 | 84 | 91 | 87.5 | |
| 6 | 201 | 141 | 140 | 140.5 | 79 | 80 | 79.5 | |
| 7 | 191 | 125 | 110 | 117.5 | 89 | 86 | 87.5 | |
| 8 | 218 | 128 | 101 | 114.5 | 84 | 93 | 88.5 | |
| 9 | 197 | 98 | 130 | 114 | 80 | 76 | 78 | |
| 10 | 214 | 144 | 137 | 140.5 | 93 | 83 | 88 | |
| Mean | 202.9 | 121.1 | 121.6 | 121.35 | 86 | 84.6 | 85.3 | |
| S.D | 9.19 | 17.01 | 14.05 | 11.49 | 6.54 | 5.33 | 4.17 | |

| | | Ovary measurement/mm | | | | | | | |
|------|-----------------|----------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|
| No. | Body Weight/ | | Length | | | Width | | | |
| | mg | Right | Left | Mean | Right | Left | Mean | | |
| 1 | 196 | 142 | 127 | 134.5 | 82 | 93 | 87.5 | | |
| 2 | 181 | 113 | 121 | 117 | 80 | 90 | 85 | | |
| 3 | 201 | 108 | 120 | 114 | 91 | 78 | 84.5 | | |
| 4 | 185 | 99 | 116 | 107.5 | 79 | 84 | 81.5 | | |
| 5 | 179 | 97 | 105 | 101 | 74 | 81 | 77.5 | | |
| 6 | 193 | 133 | 111 | 122 | 86 | 80 | 83 | | |
| 7 | 199 | 126 | 125 | 125.5 | 88 | 92 | 90 | | |
| 8 | 174 | 119 | 121 | 120 | 96 | 87 | 91.5 | | |
| 9 | 191 | 125 | 108 | 116.5 | 90 | 78 | 84 | | |
| 10 | 202 | 127 | 104 | 115.5 | 77 | 89 | 83 | | |
| Mean | 190.1 | 118.9 | 115.8 | 117.35 | 84.3 | 85.2 | 84.75 | | |
| S.D | 9.85 | 14.58 | 8.31 | 9.24 | 7.00 | 5.75 | 4.09 | | |

 Table (7): Ovary Length and width (mm) of virgin queens reared by emergency (Naturally) method in the Spring season.

Table (8): Ovary Length and width (mm) of virgin queens reared by grafting method in the Autumn season.

| | | Ovary measurement/mm | | | | | | |
|------|-----------------|----------------------|--------|--------|-------|------|------|--|
| No. | Body Weight/ | | Length | | Width | | | |
| | mg | Right | Left | Mean | Right | Left | Mean | |
| 1 | 188 | 102 | 120 | 111 | 72 | 75 | 73.5 | |
| 2 | 198 | 99 | 117 | 108 | 86 | 96 | 91 | |
| 3 | 192 | 125 | 129 | 127 | 91 | 88 | 89.5 | |
| 4 | 189 | 133 | 126 | 129.5 | 85 | 97 | 91 | |
| 5 | 193 | 133 | 124 | 128.5 | 82 | 90 | 86 | |
| 6 | 205 | 137 | 129 | 133 | 84 | 89 | 86.5 | |
| 7 | 192 | 111 | 108 | 109.5 | 93 | 93 | 93 | |
| 8 | 202 | 141 | 129 | 135 | 92 | 89 | 90.5 | |
| 9 | 189 | 118 | 119 | 118.5 | 91 | 89 | 90 | |
| 10 | 194 | 101 | 132 | 116.5 | 93 | 87 | 90 | |
| Mean | 194.2 | 120 | 123.3 | 121.65 | 86.9 | 89.3 | 88.1 | |
| S.D | 5.73 | 16 | 7.33 | 10.15 | 6.60 | 6.05 | 5.53 | |

| | | | Ovary measurement/mm | | | | | |
|------|-----------------|-------|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| No. | Body Weight/ | | Length | | Width | | | |
| | mg | Right | Left | mean | Right | Left | Mean | |
| 1 | 171 | 95 | 108 | 101.5 | 70 | 77 | 73.5 | |
| 2 | 180 | 143 | 135 | 139 | 80 | 85 | 82.5 | |
| 3 | 169 | 137 | 123 | 130 | 85 | 90 | 87.5 | |
| 4 | 182 | 117 | 120 | 118.5 | 94 | 99 | 96.5 | |
| 5 | 184 | 124 | 129 | 126.5 | 63 | 70 | 66.5 | |
| 6 | 182 | 121 | 131 | 126 | 88 | 93 | 90.5 | |
| 7 | 173 | 125 | 117 | 121 | 89 | 93 | 91 | |
| 8 | 177 | 129 | 103 | 116 | 95 | 98 | 96.5 | |
| 9 | 165 | 110 | 101 | 105.5 | 70 | 74 | 72 | |
| 10 | 176 | 98 | 100 | 99 | 90 | 94 | 92 | |
| Mean | 175.9 | 119.9 | 116.7 | 118.3 | 82.4 | 87.3 | 84.85 | |
| S.D | 6.29 | 15.48 | 13.03 | 13.00 | 11.16 | 10.30 | 10.72 | |

Table (9): Ovary Length and width (mm) of virgin queens reared by emergency (Naturally) method in the Autumn season.

Table (10): Comparison between ovary length and width (mm) of virgin queens reared by grafting or emergency (Naturally) method in Spring and Autumn seasons.

| | | Lei | ngth | | Width | | | | |
|------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|--|
| Rep. | Spr | ing | Aut | ımn | Spr | ing | Aut | Autumn | |
| | Grafting | Natural | Grafting | Natural | Grafting | Natural | Grafting | Natural | |
| 1 | 121.5 | 134.5 | 111 | 101.5 | 83.5 | 87.5 | 73.5 | 73.5 | |
| 2 | 109.5 | 117 | 108 | 139 | 84.5 | 85 | 91 | 82.5 | |
| 3 | 128.5 | 114 | 127 | 130 | 84.5 | 84.5 | 89.5 | 87.5 | |
| 4 | 117 | 107.5 | 129.5 | 118.5 | 91.5 | 81.5 | 91 | 96.5 | |
| 5 | 110 | 101 | 128.5 | 126.5 | 87.5 | 77.5 | 86 | 66.5 | |
| 6 | 140.5 | 122 | 133 | 126 | 79.5 | 83 | 86.5 | 90.5 | |
| 7 | 117.5 | 125.5 | 109.5 | 121 | 87.5 | 90 | 93 | 91 | |
| 8 | 114.5 | 120 | 135 | 116 | 88.5 | 91.5 | 90.5 | 96.5 | |
| 9 | 114 | 116.5 | 118.5 | 105.5 | 78 | 84 | 90 | 72 | |
| 10 | 140.5 | 115.5 | 116.5 | 99 | 88 | 83 | 90 | 92 | |
| Mean | 121.35 | 117.35 | 121.65 | 118.3 | 85.3 | 84.75 | 88.1 | 84.85 | |
| S.D | 11.49 | 9.24 | 10.15 | 13.00 | 4.17 | 4.09 | 5.53 | 10.72 | |
| F | | 0.38 | 1 NS | | | 0.55 | 8 NS | | |

References

- Adgaba, N.; Al- Ghamdi, A.; Tadesse, Y.; Alsarhan, R.; Single,
 A.; Mohammed, S.E. and Khan,
 K.A. (2019): The responses of *Apis mellifera jemenitica* to different artificial queen rearing techniques. Saudi J. Biol. Sci., 26 (7): 1649-1654.
- Büchler, R.; Andonov, S.; Bienefeld, K.; Costa, C.; Hatjina, F.; Kezic, N.; Kryger, P.; Spivak, M.; Uzunov, A. and Wilde, J. (2013): Standard methods for rearing and selection of *Apis mellifera* queens. Journal of Apicultural Research, 52(1): 1-30.
- Crailsheim, K.; Brodschneider, R.; Aupinel, P.; Behrens, D.; Genersch, E.; Vollmann, J. and Riessberger-Galle, U. (2013): Standard methods for artificial rearing of *Apis mellifera* larvae. Journal of Apicultural Research, 52(1): 1-16.
- El Din, H.A.E.S. (1999): Biological and ecological for commercial queen production. Honeybee Science, 20: 127-130.
- Jensen, M. (2000): Queen rearing with *Apis cerana* - the Jensen method. Bees Dev. J., 1:55.
- Karthik Raja, N.K; Kalyanasundaram, A; Saminathan V. and Jeyaprakash P. (2022): Studies on Comparative Performance of Grafted Queen Colony and Naturally Reared Queen

Colony of Italian Bee, *Apis mellifera* L. (Hymenoptera: Apidae) at Tiruchirapalli condition. Biological Forum – An International Journal, 14(2a): 50-55.

- Koc, A. U. and Karacaoglu, M. (2004): Effects of rearing on the quality of queen honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.) raised under the conditions of Aegean Region. Mellifera, 4(7): 34- 37.
- Kumar, N. (2018): Evaluation of larval grafted queen and natural reared queen of Italian honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 1: 3181-3183.
- Kumar, N. and Singh, R. (2004): Queen production potential under natural conditions in Italian and Indian honeybees. Shashpa, 11(2): 165-170.
- Laidlaw, H.H. (1975): Queen rearing. Am. Bee J.,115 (10):384-387.
- Root, A.I. and Root, E.R. (1980): The ABC and XYZ of Bee Culture. A.I. Root Pub. Co., Ohio, 578.
- SAS institute (1988): SAS users guide. Statistics (SAS institute. Cary. N. C. USA).
- Seeley, T. D. (1985): Honeybee Ecology. A study of adaptation in social life. In: Krebs J.R. and T. Clutton - Brock (eds.). Monographs in behavior and Ecology. 201. Princeton Univ., Press, Princeton, NJ.